

Council Attendance

Role	Name	Initials	Attendance
Officer Trustee - Union President	Camille Boutrolle	CB	Present
Officer Trustee - Deputy President (Education)	Yi Yang	YY	Present
Officer Trustee - Deputy President (Welfare)	Andreea Cojocsa	AC	Present
Officer Trustee - Deputy President (Clubs & Societies)	Christian Cooper	CC	Present
Officer Trustee - Deputy President (Finance & Services)	Stephanie Yeung	SY	Apologies
Council Chair	Anthea MacIntosh-LaRocque	AML	Present
Constituent Union President - ICSMSU	Haider Nazerali	HN	Present
Constituent Union President - CGCU	Daniel Zhuo	DZ	Present
Constituent Union President - RSM	Trinity Stenhouse	TS	Absent
Constituent Union President - RCSU	Reha Chandresh	RC	Present
Constituent Union President - Silwood	Jack Arthur	JA	Present
Management Group Chair - Arts	Celine Driessen	CD	Apologies/ Proxy*
Management Group Chair - Community		N/A	N/A
Management Group Chair - Knowledge	Dhishan Mane	DM	Present
Management Group Chair - Recreation	Ethan Beech	EB	Present
Management Group Chair - Sport	Vaibhav Chetty	VC	Present
LCO - Black & Minority Ethnic Officer	Comfort Oluwakoya	CO	Present
LCO - LGBT+ Officer	Avi Kaura	AK	Present
LCO - Disabilities	Emmanuel Lawal	EL	Present
LCO - Mental Health	Aglaia Freccero	AF	Present
LCO - Gender Equality Officer	Wendy Song	WS	Present
LCO - Ethics & Environmental Officer	Yuxi Liang	YL	Present
LCO - Interfaith Officer	Ariba Azam	AA	Absent
LCO - International Officer	Yuanze Xia	YX	Present
LCO - Working Class Officer	Jordan Elliott	JE	Present
Welfare Officer of CU - RCSU	Julia Purrinos De Oliveira	JPO	Present
Welfare Officer of CU - ICSMSU	Lilia Evans	LE	Apologies

Welfare Officer of CU - RSM	Jay Haley	JH	Present
Academic Officer of CU - CGCU	Linmo Lin	LL	Present
Academic Officer of CU - RCSU	Chloe Lee	CL	Present
Academic Officer of CU - ICSMSU	Yasmin Baker	YB	Present
Academic Officer of CU - RSM	Olivia Cai	OC	Apologies
Postgraduate Research Academic & Welfare Officer (Engineering)	Xiang Yan	XY	Present
Postgraduate Research Academic & Welfare Officer (Medicine)	Nan Fletcher-Lloyd	NFL	Present
Postgraduate Research Academic & Welfare Officer (Science)	Michaela Flegrova	MF	Apologies
PGR Representation Chair	Jordon Millward	JM	Proxy*
Council Representative (UG Science)	Edward Bird	EB	Apologies
Council Representative (UG Science)	Qingyu Liu	QL	Present
Council Representative (UG Engineering)	Ling Liu	LLiu	Present
Council Representative (UG Engineering)	Kang Yang	KY	Absent
Council Representative (UG Medicine)	Yuki Agarwala	YA	Present
Council Representative (UG Medicine)	Kavyesh Vivek	KV	Present
Council Representative (PG Science)	Alex Auyang	AA	Apologies
Council Representative (PG Engineering)	Guoxuan Cui	GC	Present
Council Representative (PG Engineering)	Jing Xu	JX	Present
Council Representative (PG Business)	Ka-Chun Liu	KCL	Present

In attendance [Students/Staff]

Helena Schofield (HS) – ICU Representation Manager [Union Staff]
 Annette Ma (AM) – ICU Governance and Representation Assistant [Union Staff]
 Jamie John (JJ) – Felix Editor [Student]
 Deniz Etit (DE) – Departmental Representative (Chem Engineering), PhD Committee President [Student]*
 Jack Bartlett (JB) – Vice Chair of Entertainments of the Arts & Entertainments management group [Student]*

1. Welcome

AML welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2. Apologies & Chair's Business

Apologies

Noted as above.

Quorum check

Confirmed.

3. Council Seat Reinstatement

No seat reinstatements raised.

4. ProxiesDE

DE noted he is presenting today and is attending by proxy for JM.

Proxy approved by general consensus.

JB

JB introduced himself as the Vice Chair of Entertainments on the A&E Board, and noted he is attending by proxy for CD.

Proxy approved by general consensus.

5. Approval of minutes of the previous meeting

NFL raised a correction on point ix of AOB, said that she is not representing medics students, but the Faculty of Medicine.

JE and noted that he was late to the Council meeting but was marked absent.

YB also noted she was present at the Council meeting but was marked absent.

Corrections were made and minutes of the previous meeting were approved by general consensus.

6. Action tracker

AML thanked everyone for sending in updates and presented the item. AML added that following the Council meeting, they will remove the completed actions from the tracker after the meeting.

- i. AML asked for updates from CB on Action 1.4. CB noted People & Planet Fossil Free Campaign has gotten back to her and they are currently planning a meeting in March.
- ii. AML asked for updates from CB on Action 1.5. CB noted she sent a recommendation to the Provost and will give further updates in due time.
- iii. AML raised to close Action 1.6 on requesting quarterly updates of the university's investment portfolio, as it is now up-to-date, as clarified in the last Council meeting. The action was marked as complete by general consensus.
- iv. AML asked for updates from CB on Action 1.7. CB noted that she has suggested to the College to request student representation in the College Endowment Board, and it is currently under review. CB also noted that the SRI report includes student consultation. JA asked whether they are aiming for undergraduate or postgraduate representation. CB replied that there is no discrimination and the representative will likely be either the Union President or the Deputy President (Finance & Services).
- v. AML suggested to mark Action 1.8 as complete as the SRI working group is covered by the other actions in the tracker. The action was marked as complete by general consensus.
- vi. AML clarified regarding Action 2.5 that CB asked for CU Welfare and Academic Chairs to ask their departmental representatives to provide CB with feedback on College amenities in their respective departments. AML marked the action as complete as the feedback period for this has closed.
- vii. CC noted regarding Action 2.6 that the CGCU Welfare Chair has resigned for the role, and CGCU will co-op another candidate for the role. AML marked the action as complete.
- viii. CC noted regarding Action 2.7 that the co-opting page on the website has been updated, and will be further reviewed in the democracy review. AML marked the action as complete.

7. Call for AOB

AML asked for AOBs. HS noted one AOB.

8. Part-time working whilst at Imperial

AC presented the item on the behalf of JM.

AC introduced the paper as the result of the previous work completed on the cost-of-living crisis, which was raised as one of the main points to be focused on in previous forums.

AC explained that there is a recommended maximum working time of 20 hours for home students, while international students have to comply to a nation-wide cap (set at 20 hours) of working time per week during term time. AC noted the Union Notes of the paper include statistics demonstrating effects on all student cohorts, and noted she is happy to take feedback to include more data. AML clarified that the paper refers to removal of the

recommended limit of working time to home students, and not to the cap set for international students.

AC raised the question of whether part-time work is the right solution to the cost-of-living crisis, or whether Imperial should put more support in place for students, as part-time work can impact students' quality of assessments and coursework. AC asked for comments from Council members on how they can best represent students and diminish or eliminate stress from the cost-of-living crisis.

- i. HN noted regarding reference 5 stated in the paper, that the 10-15 hour recommendation for part-time work may be specified to undergraduate students only. HN then asked for the purpose of changing the recommendation and its possible impact on students. AC answered that the paper is more for acknowledgement than approval, and she would like to use this opportunity to ask Council for suggestions on how to further support students amidst the cost-of-living crisis. AML clarified that the paper author JM requested for a vote to approve the paper.
- ii. JE noted regarding Union Resolve 1, in terms of postgraduate students like himself, there are negative connotations associated with taking on too much part-time work, and recommendations help protect students from burnout or improper engagement with university. JE noted that students taking on part-time work may feel anxious or guilty if they feel that Imperial is against it. He also noted increasing the recommended work hours may normalise having part-time work and remove the incentive for students that have part-time jobs to seek financial support from university. JE felt that ICU or Imperial should take a stance to not encourage students to take on part-time work, but also clearly state that students would not be penalised.
- iii. NFL noted with regards to Union Resolves, it should be made clear that if Council supports the paper, it will not be viewed as an alternative to Union lobbying for more comprehensive financial support, and both can be done in conjunction. AML asked whether NFL would like to propose an amendment, to which NFL responded that her statement is for Council members to note, unless anyone wishes to include this in writing. NFL also noted that Imperial may have to discuss and revise expectations with students taking on part-time work in order to provide support to them accordingly, particularly with postgraduate research (PGR) students. NFL said she is aware of milestones that students have to meet in their courses, which may require an addition Union Resolve. AC accepted the addition.
- iv. JA propose to remove Union Resolve 5 as the statement is too broad to use part-time work as an indicator for financial hardship, and may cause problems down the line. JA noted that students that require financial support may not be able to work due to health or other reasons, and there are also many students without financial hardship that take up part-time work. AC noted the point, and suggested to expand the point to encapsulate more situations. JA noted that students' part-time work could be considered during the Imperial bursary application process. CC recommended rephrasing the resolve to: *To collaborate with Student*

Services review the indicators of financial hardship, particularly for hardship fund and bursary applications.

- v. HN noted part-time work can be a big issue for medics students as they struggle to meet part-time work commitments due to placements, and impacts massively on studies. He agreed with JE on whether they should normalise having part-time work, and is supportive on further discussions on seeking alternative financial support that is not part-time work for students.
- vi. HN suggested an additional Union Resolve for ICU to offer more job opportunities for students, as the location is convenient for students and employers at ICU may better understand students' commitment to studies. CC finetuned the wording of the Resolve and AC accepted the addition.

Secretary's postscript: For fuller clarification of the addition of Union Resolve 7:

7: For ICU to facilitate more accessible employment opportunities for students.

- vii. CC suggested a minor amendment on Union Resolve 1 to emphasise ICU's support to students, and not part-time work. AC accepted the amendment.

Secretary's postscript: For fuller clarification of amendments to Union Resolve 1:

1: To take the position that part-time work as part of your studies is now a must for many and not a choice. The Union will release a statement to this effect ~~supporting part-time work for students~~ offering support to students in part-time work.

- viii. EL noted that many students have not informed Imperial of their part-time employment in fear of negative connotations. EL said Imperial should specify that while students may not be encouraged to take on part-time work, they are not opposed to students doing so. CC noted that the amended Union Resolve 1 encompasses this idea.
- ix. AK resonated with JE and suggested to amend Union Resolve 4 to lobby Imperial to make a statement on their stance on part-time work, in which they support students taking on part-time work but encourage them to prioritize their studies. AK noted that this helps increase transparency of financial aid. AC reemphasised that only a recommendation is given to home students, while the working hours of international students are legally capped.
- x. JE further suggested to amend Union Resolve 4 to lobby the College to take action, including (but not limited to) releasing a statement.

Secretary's postscript: For fuller clarification of amendments to Union Resolve 4:

4: To lobby the College to acknowledge and address that part-time work is undertaken by many students at Imperial alongside their studies and that these students may require additional support. This acknowledgement should include a statement released to students with the College's stance on part-time working and signposting to support, financial and otherwise, available within and outside of College.

~~*To lobby Imperial to remove the hour cap from its student employment during studies policy for home students, and to increase this cap to that permitted by an international students' visa for international students.*~~

- xi. CB asked who will be responsible for Union Resolve 4, and AML replied it will be an officer within ICU.

AML asked everyone to vote on the Union Resolves and amendments on Menti.

Resolves 1 to 7 were carried by a majority voting in favour.

Secretary's postscript: The final voting outcomes were:

Resolve 1. To take the position that part-time work as part of your studies is now a must for many and not a choice. The Union will release a statement to this effect offering support to students in part-time work.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
34	18	30	0	4	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, KV, DE, JX, CL, JA, LL, EL, JE, HN, CC, CO, DM, AK, RC, JB, EB, VC, GC, YX, JH, XY, JP, AF, DZ, YL		KCL, WS, CB, LLiu,

Resolve 2. To work with the College's Career Service for undergraduates and the Graduate School to develop info packs for part-time work.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
34	18	30	2	2	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, KV, DE, JX, CL, JA, LL, EL, JE, HN, CC, DM, AK, RC, JB, VC, GC, YX, JH, XY, JP, AF, DZ, YL, KCL, LLiu	CO, EB	WS, CB

Resolve 3: To encourage Imperial to facilitate more academic-related employment opportunities within the College for students that will further their career development and align with their academic pursuits by recognising the restrictions on work due to studying needs and their financial needs as these opportunities are often better remunerated.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
33	18	32	0	1	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, DE, JX, CL, JA, LL, EL, JE, HN, CC, DM, AK, RC, JB, VC, GC,		WS

YX, JH, XY, JP, AF, DZ, YL, KCL, LLiu, CB,
 CO, EB

Council agreed that voting would occur on Resolve 4, but that AML would determine the phrasing of the resolve post-Council to something to the effect of “To lobby the College to take action to reduce stigma and release a statement with their stance on part-time working which encompasses the acknowledgement that students do work part-time and they need support etc.”

Resolve 4. To lobby the College to acknowledge and address that part-time work is undertaken by many students at Imperial alongside their studies and that these students may require additional support. This acknowledgement should include a statement released to students with the College’s stance on part-time working and signposting to support, financial and otherwise, available within and outside of College.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
31	17	25	0	6	For

For	Against	Abstain
QL, AC, NF, YA, DE, JX, KV, CL, JA, LL, JE, HN, CC, DM, AK, JB, VC, GC, YX, AF, DZ, KCL, LLiu, CO, EB		WS, CB, RC, JH, XY, EL

Resolve 5. To collaborate with Student Services review the indicators of financial hardship, particularly for hardship fund and bursary applications.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
33	18	28	2	3	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, DE, JX, KV, CL, JA, LL, JE, CC, DM, AK, VC, GC, YX, AF, DZ, KCL, LLiu, CO, EL, YL, XY, RC, JP	EB, JH	WS, CB, JB,

Additional Resolve 6. To meet with students and College to discuss expectations and impact of part-time work on studies.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
32	17	30	0	2	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, DE, JX, KV, CL, JA, LL, JE, CC, DM, AK, GC, YX, AF, DZ, KCL, LLiu, CO, EL, YL, XY, RC, JH, EB, JB, JP		CB, WS

Additional Resolve 7. To facilitate more accessible employment opportunities for students.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
33	18	31	2	0	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, YA, DE, JX, KV, CL, JA, LL, JE, CC, DM, AK, GC, YX, AF, DZ, KCL, LLiu, EL, YL, XY, JH, EB, JB, JP, WS, HN, KV	CB, CO	

9. Updating the London Allowance

DE presented the paper as its author.

DE noted that the current UKRI standard is £18,622 per year, and £20,622 per year in London, with an additional allowance of £2000/year. DE noted the average difference in the cost of housing in London with the second most expensive region (in the South-East) is £6024 per year and urged for a need to increase the London allowance to at least £5000/year and increase with inflation.

DE noted he wishes to build a network for universities to support the proposal to UKRI to update the London allowance.

- i. JA suggested to include an expansion on how he reached the number of £5000 per year as a recommendation for the London allowance, as Union Resolve 5 also asks to gather financial data regarding Imperial PhD students.
- ii. JA also suggested to collaborate with London universities and other UK universities to increase the base rate of the UKRI allowance, as the UK has the least generous stipend in Europe. If the nation-wide UKRI stipend increases by £3000, then there will still be a £5000 increase for London. DE pointed the huge discrepancy in costs, and noted their demand is reasonable. DE noted regarding Union Resolve 5, that the estimate of £5000 is based on measurement statistics, but they would like to get more data from Imperial students specifically. DE said that the living standards and quality should be similar for PhD students across the UK, and he hopes to specifically increase the London allowance due to higher costs in London.
- iii. XY noted that the London allowance of £2000 per year has not changed since 1994, noting a loss of 50% of the value since it was introduced, therefore the £5000 estimate is not as arbitrary as it may seem. He also voiced support on the increase of London allowance with inflation. JA reiterated that part of the reason that London rent is so high is because certain areas in London are amongst the most expensive, but the rent cap is the same in the UK, and he doesn't see a point to increase the London stipend only when the UK is experiencing it. DE noted that, based on nation-wide data, housing in London is drastically more expensive than all the other regions in the UK, which is why the London allowance should be updated reflecting the current differences to improve the

- wellbeing of London PhD students, which is disproportionately worse compared to students in the rest of the UK.
- iv. CB thanked DE for raising this issue, and noted that the London and UK-wide allowances are not mutually exclusive, and she doesn't see a reason why they shouldn't make an additional Union Resolve. CB explained that they can request for an increase for the London stipend, and an increase in the UK-wide stipend with a national movement.
 - v. CB suggested to remove Union Resolve 1, as Resolve 6 is similar and more detailed. DE responded that he feels Union Resolve 6 is the most important resolve, and he is keen to contact other London universities to get on board on the movement. CB noted it will be more powerful to gather London universities before speaking to UKRI. DE clarified that Resolve 1 occurs within Imperial, but is happy to remove it.
 - vi. CB suggested to overshoot the request on the London allowance increase, as long as the number is a sensible estimate. CC added that they are not qualified to assign a specific increase on it now and suggested to remove the number from Resolve 2 to allow further discussion on it between all London universities. CB agreed with CC to remove the current number from the Resolve as it feels arbitrary, but to include it in the Union Notes. AML suggested to add a reference to the data to help inform the decision-making. DE noted that the current data shows the London allowance should be 6024, which is why 5000 was mentioned as a minimum benchmark. He emphasised the importance of this and mentioned that it would be nice to still keep this number in the 'Union Believes' section, if it is to be removed from the Union Resolves.
 - vii. AK suggested to rephrase it to 'in line with inflation'. DE noted that the main motivation for increasing the allowance is mainly due to the cost-of-living crisis and expensive accommodation and not inflation. CB agreed and noted a need for a step increase as the London allowance has not been changed in 20 years.
 - viii. JE pointed out that in terms of proportion, according to source attached to the paper, the London allowance when it was introduced was £2000, while the base-rate stipend was £5000, so around 30% of London-based students' stipend was made up of the London allowance.
 - ix. CC said that excluding the specific number will allow for any adjustments when they create proper persuasive lobbying material. CC specified that this paper is Union Council, and operating numbers in Council papers is not constructive.
 - x. YA agreed to keep the number of £5000 in the Union Notes, and also noted that the UK base allowance was increased for 2024, and suggested an amendment to increase the base stipend rate and London stipend rate. DE did not accept the amendment.
 - xi. JA noted that they should take action for an increase in the nation-wide and London allowance at the same time, where they could include other UK universities outside London, including the University of Manchester which is the biggest UK university. JA suggested an additional Resolve to form a working group with UK-wide universities to increase the base rate allowance (the figure to be determined), adjusted with inflation, and lobby UKRI. DE declined the addition as he would like to maintain the focus on the London allowance. CB noted that

there is already a nation-wide movement lobbying to increase the UKRI base allowance amongst Russell Group universities. AML asked Council members to vote on the addition, and it was accepted by majority vote.

- xii. AML suggested an amendment to Union Resolve 6 to remove the phrase 'alongside the paper author'. The amendment was not accepted.

AML asked council members to vote on Resolves 2-7.

Resolves 2 to 7 were carried by a majority voting in favour. Resolve 1 had been removed by the paper author prior to voting.

Secretary's postscript: The final voting outcomes were:

Resolve 2. For the Union President and Deputy President (Welfare), alongside the paper author, to lobby the College to support increasing the UKRI London allowance.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
27	15	24	0	3	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, DE, JX, KV, CL, LL, JE, CC, DM, GC, YX, AF, DZ, EL, XY, EB, JB, JP, WS, CO, VC		KCL, JA, HN

Resolve 3. If the College does not support increasing the UKRI London allowance, then to form a student-driven campaign led by the paper author focussed on the issue of the UKRI London allowance, with the support of the Union President and Deputy President (Welfare).

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
29	16	26	0	3	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, DE, JX, KV, CL, LL, JE, CC, DM, GC, YX, AF, DZ, EL, XY, JB, JP, CO, VC, KCL, YA, AK, CB		JA, WS, EB,

Resolve 4. For the Union President to raise the aforementioned concerns regarding the London allowance at College Council.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
30	16	28	0	2	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, DE, JX, KV, CL, LL, JE, CC, DM, GC, YX, AF, DZ, EL, XY,		WS, EB,

JB, JP, CO, VC, KCL, YA, AK, CB, JA, YL

Resolve 5. To gather data regarding the financial circumstances of PhD students at Imperial.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
27	15	25	1	1	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, DE, JX, CL, LL, JE, CC, GC, AF, DZ, EL, XY, JB, JP, CO, VC, YA, AK, CB, JA, YL, WS, EB,	KCL	NF

Resolve 6. For the Union President and Deputy President (Welfare), alongside the paper author, to make efforts to form a working group with other London-based universities to lobby the UKRI to increase the UKRI London allowance.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
27	15	26	0	1	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, DE, JX, CL, LL, JE, CC, GC, AF, DZ, EL, XY, JB, JP, CO, VC, YA, AK, CB, JA, EB, NF, KCL, YX		WS

Additional Resolve 7. For the Union President and Deputy President (Welfare), to make efforts to form a working group with non-London-based universities to lobby the UKRI to increase the UKRI base rate.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
28	15	22	4	2	For

For	Against	Abstain
QL, AC, JX, CL, LL, JE, CC, GC, DZ, EL, XY, JB, JP, YA, AK, JA, NF, KCL, YX, KV, DM, YL	CB, CO, EB, VC	WS, DE

10. Award of Honorary Lifetime Membership

CB presented the paper as its author.

CB requested the bestowal of Honorary Lifetime Membership on both members of the staff, Nicholas Roalfe and Chidozie Ofoego. CB noted that they have been working at ICU for

numerous years, and the officer trustees believe they are deserving of the Honorary Lifetime Membership.

AML asked Council members to vote for Nicholas, and for Chidozie.

Resolves 1 and 2 were carried by a majority voting in favour.

Secretary's postscript: The final voting outcomes were:

Resolve 1. To bestow Honorary Lifetime Membership upon Nicholas Roalfe.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
25	14	25	0	0	For

For	Against	Abstain
QL, AC, NF, KCL, YA, KV, WS, DE, JX, CL, CB, JA, LL, EL, JE, CC, CO, AK, JB, EB, VC, GC, XY, JP, DZ		

Resolve 2. To bestow Honorary Lifetime Membership upon Chidozie Ofoego.

Quorum count	Majority (50%+1)	For	Against	Abstain	Outcome
29	16	29	0	0	For

For	Against	Abstain
YY, QL, AC, NF, KCL, YA, KV, WS, DE, JX, CL, CB, JA, LL, EL, JE, CC, CO, AK, JB, EB, VC, GC, XY, JP, DZ, YX, AF, YL		

11. CSPB report

CC reported they are currently in process of annual budgeting, and there is nothing else of note.

AML noted that any questions can be directed to CC.

12. AOB

HS gave a reminder that Union elections are currently being held, and students can stand for all Officer Trustee roles, constituent union roles, liberation and community representatives, departmental representatives, as well as CSP roles. HS noted that nominations close on 1 March, and asked Council members to encourage other students that may be fitting to run for roles.

HS said any questions can be emailed to her.

End of Union Council

AML thanked everyone for attending Union Council and noted that members should receive an Outlook invite for the next Council meeting on 19 March.