

APPEALS PACK

*
-----**15/3/16 06:30 PM: APPEAL BA07****OSC Lebanese (314)**

Current Allocation 16/17:	50
Allocation 15/16:	119
Amount Requested:	80

AWARD AMOUNT: 0**Committee Rec: 0**

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: Line is 22507 - recommend funding to 50. Other one is not suitable.

ES:

AC:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	25 members @ 3
Amount Requested:	80

NEED/PRIORITY:

This was the first full year in which Lebanese Society ran as a cultural society. We were given £119 in our previous budget submission and we met our membership targets by 155%..

Furthermore, we have genuinely enriched the cultural exposure here at Imperial; reaching out to everyone and bridging gaps between East and West. Our first event of the year, a small Lebanese food tasting event, was attended by over a hundred pupils on campus; it was an overwhelming success!

Having grown by a tremendous amount in just the span of a year, we are very keen to continue forwards in the expansion of our society. However, with only £50 allocated to our society for next year, we truly believe that it is fair to say that we have been underfunded. We have proven that there is a genuine interest in our society this year and we expected in return to be rewarded and encouraged to keep going forwards.

It is such a crucial time for our society, and having put so much effort in getting our name out there, next year is the year that we need to prove to everyone that we are here to stay as a firm and established society and even grown further.

We would appreciate if we could receive £50 for ground hire for an inter communal event that we are planning to host, as this is how much was given to us last year but for some reason we received no money this year. Finally, we would also be extremely grateful for

£30 or more for our Lebanese Fair, Halloumi & Wine Night and Welcome Event.

As a small and emerging society, with no other funding from other sources, we would truly appreciate the Union's support and help especially at this crucial point in time. Thank you very much for your consideration, I am more than happy to sit down and discuss the above points further.

REACH: With only £50 to subsidize on events and no external funding we are forced to do one if not several of the following to keep running events that will keep people interested in the society:

A - We will be obliged to increase membership to get funding from somewhere, but this counter-intuitively also discourages people from buying it in the first place

B - Will have to host smaller events than last year, which doesn't seem right because if anything we should be increasing our events and capacities next year

C - The committee members will be forced to put in their own money

So yes, when £50 is allocated compared to previous £119, at a time where the society is expected to be expanding significantly, we are definitely going to be hindered and limited in terms of the size of events we can host and this definitely will impact our members and their satisfaction in our society.

MERIT:

We achieved 155% of our membership targets.

Our first event, a Lebanese Food Tasting Session was attended by over a hundred pupils, showing that there is genuine interest in our society.

It is a very crucial time for the society: This being the first full year we run, and having done so well alongside electing a committee of 11 people for next year, next year is the year we need to prove to everyone that we are here to stay as a firm and established society. We also expect to grow and expand further next year, so cutting our funding by more than a half is definitely not the direction we would like to go in.

We have genuinely done a good job, put a tremendous amount of effort into getting our name out there and overall improved the cultural exposure at Imperial. Our society is unique in that it truly serves as a bridge between East and West, something that Lebanon and Beirut is renowned for. It is for these reasons that we believe that we Merit increased subsidy. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Line Report

WHOLE: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

15/3/16 06:40 PM: APPEAL 17

ACC Rifle & Pistol (020)

Current Allocation 16/17:	5453.74
Allocation 15/16:	5935.73
Amount Requested:	1141

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Attacking other clubs. No numbers given in the budget. Members can contribute more easily.

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	130 members @ 16.5
Amount Requested:	1141

NEED/PRIORITY:

22643 PRIORITY: There was no action or comment on our top up request for line 22643 after we emailed ACC explaining why the line should be topped up. Hiring the London Bridge range for >£2000 every year is crucial to our operation as it is simply not feasible for our members to train at Heston every week, due to fewer facilities there, and the cost of hiring a minibus/taxi every week to transport rifles would amount to a higher cost. London Bridge is where all our members first join the club, where we train new members, and practice three different types of shooting, and is where the majority of our members will interact with the club. Therefore, we are requesting a total of £800 to help contribute to the hiring costs. With the reduced funding, the club would be forced to increase prices and make trips and training more expensive to members, possibly even reducing the total number of trips we can run and therefore harming the health of the club.

22659 PRIORITY: We feel that the comment "All other clubs are in the same boat here - they would not be able to run without their affiliations. Legality is incidental in this respect" is highly misleading and wrong, and we are not in the same boat as other clubs. For example, most sports would still be able to practice, train and hold matches if they are not affiliated with their respective authorities. However, without our affiliations, we are legally required by the police to shut down as a shooting club, lose our Firearms Certificate and consequentially lose all of our firearms. We would also not be able to shoot target rifles, as the National Shooting Centre at Bisley (to which there is no alternative) requires affiliation with the NRA in order to be able to book fullbore ranges for practice, and we need NSRA affiliation in order to hire the London Bridge range. Therefore, the affiliations are a key part of club existence and activity, and it would be useless to exist as a club without firearms or the ability to practice. It is also worth noting that we would not be able to hire or

even access another club's rifles (eg BA rifle club or other clubs at London Bridge Range) due to the fact that only members of a shooting club can have access to that club's firearms. This is yet again another legal requirement.

22678 PRIORITY: Health and safety of the club is vital to its functioning, and we need to ensure that our firearms are in good condition as they are vital for core activities. Most of our current rifles are at least 20 years old with no professional service on record. Our shotguns are in a similar situation. This should be seen as a vital health and safety line for the club, as when neglected, there is a very high chance of a rifle malfunctioning. We try our best to repair them in house, however there is only so much we are able to do reliably and safely compared to a proper service by a professional armorer. With last year's grant we're looking at servicing three shotguns in addition to the one smallbore rifle that we serviced this year. To our knowledge the remaining shotguns and rifles have not been professionally serviced or even looked at. We would like to get this done as soon as possible as recently a number of the rifles have been malfunctioning, and the current grant allocation is inadequate for repairing the large number of firearms that we'd like to service in the coming year.

A severe malfunction can include a <DATA LOST>

REACH: 22643 REACH: London Bridge is where all our members first join the club, where we train new members, and practice three different types of shooting, and is where the majority of our members (over 85%) will interact with the club.

22659 REACH: This affects all of our members, as without any firearms or ranges to practice at, we would not be able to partake in any of our activities.

22678 REACH: This activity reaches all of our members. This includes the majority of members who shoot on our London Bridge smallbore rifles and air pistols, but also any member who only does fullbore or clay pigeon shooting.

MERIT:

22643 MERIT: Being able to hire the range is vital for introducing members to air pistol, gallery and smallbore shooting (which further trains members up for fullbore shooting). Rarely do members join the club having any experience, and in most cases when they are experienced members, they will only have tried one particular type of shooting before joining. The range provides students a unique opportunity to try a rare and exciting activity, which is normally difficult for novices to pick up (members would have to join ULU to try another student club, or go through many many more hurdles to join another London shooting club). Without the range, the club would not be able to function as well as it does, or benefit as many members as it currently does.

22659 MERIT: Again, our affiliations allow us to legally exist and legally own firearms for training and competing. They are vital for introducing all of our members to the various types of shooting we offer. The nature of the club provides students a unique opportunity to try a rare and exciting activity, which is normally difficult for novices to pick up (Imperial students are not able to join ULU's shooting club, while joining another London shooting

club costs ~£15/month and requires many more hurdles such as references from someone's previous shooting club which completely shuts off new shooters). Without the affiliations, the club definitely would not be able to function.

22678 MERIT: Without the ability to service our firearms, we are unable to use them. One benefit of obtaining the gallery rifles last year is that we have the opportunity to let members try newer and more advanced rifles than what we previously had, but it is vital that we service all of our firearms in order to keep providing members with the unique experience. This happened earlier in the year when one rifle had not been used for years until we serviced it, and consequently many of our smallbore shooters have been able to try the rifle, but also it has allowed us to increase the amount of people who can shoot at any one time.

Line Report

22643: 519.8

Range Hire - Hire of London Bridge Rifle Range for 1 year (~£2200 - rises at rate of inflation). This is necessary for our weekly smallbore and air pistol sessions, as well as storage for firearms, ammunition and most of our kit. We also have to rent two additional gun lockers to store our rifles (2x £25), additional space for our second kit locker (£50) and will need to replace one kit locker, which is currently broken (£200). This is where the club is based and we are unable to operate without it. The range at Heston has been proposed as an alternative to this range, however it does not have enough storage space for our rifles and kit, does not have facilities for air pistol and is unable to accommodate a club of our size in the indoor range for regular use. It would also require hiring minibuses every week for travel (for which we would request subsidy), whereas members are currently expected to make their own way to London Bridge. As this is vital to the club's existence, we have requested a slightly higher percentage subsidy than what we otherwise would.

Comments so far:

22643 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

Query: Replacement of kit locker not part of an annual budgeting - unless they break that regularly?

Res: D - discuss at meeting

Sabb: Club to clarify, else as query. Already applied.

First CSPB: kit locker

22659: 213.57

Affiliations - Affiliation to the NRA (£285) gives us fullbore shooting insurance and the ability to be trained by them and use their facilities. NSRA affiliation (£285) provides smallbore insurance, the ability to use the London Bridge Range (as per our contract) and the use of NSRA facilities. Surrey RA Affiliation (£375) provides use of the Surrey's facilities including storage for our fullbore rifles and ammunition. These are vital to the clubs existence from both a legal and a practical view.

Comments so far:

22659 - Affiliation Fees - CSPB - A

Topped Up: All other clubs are in the same boat here - they would not be able to run without their affiliations. Legality is incidental in this respect.

22659: 213.57

Affiliations - Affiliation to the NRA (£285) gives us fullbore shooting insurance and the ability to be trained by them and use their facilities. NSRA affiliation (£285) provides smallbore insurance, the ability to use the London Bridge Range (as per our contract) and the use of NSRA facilities. Surrey RA Affiliation (£375) provides use of the Surrey's facilities including storage for our fullbore rifles and ammunition. These are vital to the clubs existence from both a legal and a practical view.

Comments so far:

22678 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - A

Topped Up: Agreed in policy that H&S topups would only be accepted at appeals.

22678: 281.37

Rifle and Shotgun Servicing – In keeping with last year's budget, many of our rifles remain to be serviced. So far this year, we've been able to service one of our smallbore rifles at £75 per gun (not £50 as expected) using last year's grant, and will service seven more this term using our remaining funding. This means that we still have 3 left to service urgently (£225), as faults and other issues are beginning to arise as they are between 10 and 30 years old. As is the case with our smallbore rifles, our Shotguns now also need <DATA LOST>.

Comments so far:

-----*

15/3/16 06:50 PM: APPEAL 31**SCC Film (232)**

Current Allocation 16/17:	121.3
Allocation 15/16:	220.8
Amount Requested:	450

AWARD AMOUNT: 0**Committee Rec: 0**

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH:

ES: No, they do have other ways of getting income, i.e. membership. I do recognise they have less this year but what they are requesting is even more than this

AC:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	40 members @ 5
Amount Requested:	450

NEED/PRIORITY:

Last year FilmSoc received £220 grant for cultural activities, which is more than double the amount of what is received this year. This part of the grant is used for external events such as trip to film festivals and director Q&A, and is the most essential and well received part of society activity. FilmSoc organises at least four such trips each year, and the £90 grant can hardly cover one of them. The only other source of income is through selling memberships, yet it is also partially used to provide weekly screenings and will be insufficient for group trips. Moreover, more than half of the members were attracted to FilmSoc because of the unique events provided. With the reduced funding it is estimated that FilmSoc will only be able to provide at most two external events next year.

REACH: This academic year FilmSoc's effort to organise more social and external events has been very well received by the members, and it has helped bring a sense of community that was somewhat absent in previous years. Most of the members have expressed interest in attending these events hence the tickets were sold out very quickly. Even though each event usually involves only 20 members, overall majority of the members have attended at least one or two such events over the year.

MERIT:

The aim of FilmSoc is to raise awareness of film heritage and introduce a wide range of films that our members would have never otherwise considered watching. External events such as trip to film festivals would be an efficient way of achieving such aim, which is only possible with sufficient subsidy. It is also worth noting that FilmSoc is the only society providing this kind of trips and experiences to its members.

Line Report**21129: 90**

Events outside campus: Tickets to special screenings, Q&A's or festivals cost around £12 each (ICA, BFI), while regular cinema tickets on a cheap day can cost around £7 on average. The society is currently able to organise around 4 visits to such external events, and would like to be able to offer its members the chance for more. 6 visits annually would be ideal, 2-3 for each of terms 1&2, plus another one in term 3. Assuming the average cost for a ticket is £10, and that we want at least 15 tickets for each event (5 ideally reserved for committee members), that adds up to £900 for 6 events. (Last year, such events were attended by around 14-18 members, so 15 is a reasonable estimate). FilmSoc's policy so far has been to offer 50% off tickets most of the time and we would like to discount them more if possible.

Comments so far:

21129 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - B

-----*-----

15/3/16 07:00 PM: APPEAL BA01

ACC Table Tennis (029)

Current Allocation 16/17: #N/A
 Allocation 15/16: #N/A
 Amount Requested: 1800

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:
 Initial 480, come and chat if you want to, but need to recognise an impact.

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: Based on budget submitted - 4000 eligible expenditure @B -> 480.

Historical 720,640, so reflects use.

ES:

AC: Agree with Ben's recommendation. Difficult to score given criteria doesn't work so well for whole budgets

Appeal Content

Club Membership: #N/A members @ #N/A
 Amount Requested: 1800

NEED/PRIORITY:

We sincerely hope that you will take this request for an appeal into your consideration. Having seen the table tennis society grown over the past 3 years from a mere 45 to 90 today, it would be a shame if we couldn't continue this trend for the next year. The attendance of the social session as well as team training have been at a all time high, maxing out almost every social session, which is unprecedented in the previous years. This was achieved by actively getting to know the members and giving them training as well as advice on their technique. Therefore I really want the table tennis society to succeed as the demand is definitely there and we are expanding every year. Having limited space has definitely been a constraint over the past few years, but this year we succeed to keep members happy by providing them structured slots to play in.

Thus in conclusion I urge you to take this appeal into consideration. I acknowledge having done a mistake by sending the budgeting to the ACC chair (before the deadline), instead of uploading it to the website. I am sincerely sorry for this mistake and I assume full responsibility. I can send you the new revised budgeting whenever you want.

I hope we can still get funding for next year in order to make the society grown and let people enjoy the sport we all love.

REACH: We are appealing in order to get funding to afford booking the courts through out the first and second term (social session). i.e. it reaches 100% of the members

MERIT:

As mentioned before we have a large amount of members in the club. Need more money to get book tables and buy equipment.

In addition, due to the amount of interest we get during freshers fair(around 200 people attending), I strongly believe that additional tables as well as more available space, will definitely benefit the society. Every year people do not join the society because of overcrowding, however the interest has always been there. Thus if we had additional funding for more tables, we could easily double the society's size within 2-3 years. (i.e. buy a new table each year)

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*-----

15/3/16 07:10 PM: APPEAL 36

ACC Fencing (010)

Current Allocation 16/17:	3347.81
Allocation 15/16:	5045.23
Amount Requested:	2500

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Need clarification on the amount.

Committee Comments:

JS: Reasonable

BH: Amount tbc but an ok appeal

ES: A poor explanation of why they are appealing the query in the first place.

They are asking for a significant amount, and little from their members in comparison (15 vs 39%)

AC: Weak justification but serious decrease in budget

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	90 members @ 35
------------------	-----------------

Amount Requested:	2500
-------------------	------

NEED/PRIORITY:

Ground hire is crucial for our club's operation and we cannot use any other spaces other than Ethos for regular sessions since the equipment is there. This is our most significant and most beneficial expenditure without it nearly all of our activities may not take place.

REACH: A decrease in ground hire subsidy would lead to a considerable increase in the prices for the session and lesson fees. This would affect all members of our club from Novices to Experienced fencers.

MERIT:

We have already increased our fees this year to compensate for decrease in subsidy and increase in ground hire price. Augmenting them further would encourage some of our fencers to train at other clubs. This would impact our membership number and further decrease our income. We would like to limit this by not increasing session and lesson fees this coming year.

Line Report

21929: 1288.2

Ethos hall hire:

This is our most important expenditure, all our social fencing & team training activities take place here.

Current cost of badminton courts @ £12.075/hr at Ethos Gym. Final figure will include a 5% increase to inflation.

We intend to book the same hours as this year which include-

Autumn & Spring Terms:

3 Badminton Courts on Monday for 6-8pm (Training & Practice session) $3 \times 2 \times £12.075 = £72.45$

3 Badminton Courts on Friday 6-8pm $3 \times 2 \times £12.075 = £72.45$

Total Cost of Autumn and Spring Terms over 22 weeks = $£72.45 \times 2 \times 22 = £3187.80$

Summer Term:

2 Badminton Courts on Friday for 2h Summer Term $2 \times 2 \times £12.075 = £48.30$

Total Cost of Summer Term over 9 Weeks = $£48.30 \times 9 = £434.70$

Total Annual Hall Hire Cost for training sessions, accounting for 5% inflation = $(£3187.80 + £434.70) \times 1.05 = £3803.625$

We charge a £3 session fee per member per night. We also charge £2 for our Saturday sessions. After the Autumn Term, we are owed around £1000. Based on this income, we can expect a total of £1800 for the year (attendance generally drops drastically after the first term). However, this is assuming we can continue to book the Union halls on Saturdays free of charge. Assuming we can maintain this trend for the coming year:

Total Cost for training sessions: £3803.625

Session Fee Contribution: £1800 (47.32%)

Membership Fee Contribution: £500 (13.15%)

Subsidy Requested for training sessions: £1503.625 (39.53%)

The club now has to pay for BUCS fixtures held at home.

The Men's 2nd, Women's 2nd, and Men's 3rd teams have each had 4-5 home BUCS League fixtures this league. Depending on their success in progressing through their respective Cup competitions, we expect them to have 1-3 more home matches, depending on scheduling. We anticipate that next year's fixtures will have a similar arrangement. Each home fixture requires 3 court hours, so we expect these to total to:

$3 \times (13 + 4) = 51$ hours.

For the 1st teams, home fixtures take the form of 1 shared Premiership Weekend (5 courts for 12 hours on each day), 1-2 Home league matches each, and 1-2 Cup Matches each. The

total home court hours for these two teams is:

$$(2 \times 12 \times 5 + 4 \times 3 + 3 \times 3) = 141 \text{ hours.}$$

Together this comes to $(51+141) = 192$ hours. The total cost will be $(192 \text{ court hours}) * (\pounds 12.075 \text{ per court hour}) = \pounds 2318.40$. With a 5% increase due to inflation this becomes $\pounds 2434.32$.

If the club is responsible for paying for this next year, we are requesting the full $\pounds 2434.32$ in subsidy.

This brings the total cost to $\pounds 6237.945$, and the total requested subsidy to $\pounds 3937.945$.

Comments so far:

21929 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

Query: inflation assumed does against budgeting policy and does not take into account budgeting policy fixed ethos costs for next year

Res: Z - change cost & sub

Sabb: Issue is 5% inflation and 12.075 court cost. corrected to 11.6 court cost.

-----*-----

15/3/16 07:20 PM: APPEAL 16

ACC Boat (006)

Current Allocation 16/17:	6550.51
Allocation 15/16:	7577.95
Amount Requested:	4732

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Sponsor probably find-able. Does not actually affect all members. Strong appeal, concerns about the numbers and the real effect. Line already topped up

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	80 members @ 75
Amount Requested:	4732

NEED/PRIORITY:

- Our club is receiving a significantly decreased grant across the total budget, despite having very similar activities compared to previous years. Indeed, we have been allocated £6,550.51, against £7,577.95 last year and £8,739.59 three years ago. Moreover, last year has been particularly hard for us financially as we only received £6,199.57 from the 13-14 budget allocation: we had to ask for members to contribute an extra £1,342.62 to cover deficits (around £15 per member).
- Funding is not available from other sources: we do not have a sponsor and the Harlington grant (or other college funds) are not applicable for these expenses (competition entry fees). Sport Imperial used to help us financing BUCS events but this funding stream has been cut last year.
- Reduced funding would lead us to two options: (i) reduce the number of races we take part in. We do not want to come to a such stage as racing is our core activity: this is why we train for and why rowers invest a lot of time and money in. We already try to reduce costs in this area by taking part only in important events (BUCS head & regatta, Head of the River Race, Henley Royal Regatta) and a few other (indispensable) preparation races and we value local races (to cut down travel expenditure). On average, our rowers will race around 10 times per year, so once every fourth week (even though we participate in more competitions as a club --- every member does not take part in every competition). (ii) ask the members to partly contribute to the racing fees. This is the option that we would favour, but it is far from ideal for the following reasons: we already have a quite high membership fee (£75 --- which makes it hard for us to recruit freshers) and members already contribute a lot to the financial well-being of the club (related cost for members include: union membership fees £75, boathouse hire £60, British Rowing affiliation £35, training camp

£360, accommodation when travelling to several days long events, kit purchases and others). Although some of these costs are individual related, most of them are still unavoidable to the good functioning of the club as a whole.

REACH: All members of our club compete. So an increased funding will benefit 100% of the club membership.

MERIT:

We believe that our club offers a unique student experience, as we are, with ICSMBC, the only club to propose rowing, which is a traditional english sport (ICBC was created in 1919) and one of the major university sport in Great Britain. Moreover, and contrary to ICSMBC, we take part and succeed in many international events, such as the Heineken Cup, the Head of the River Race, Ghent regatta and Henley Royal Regatta.

We also offer the opportunity for our most talented athletes to train and prepare for selections of the British Rowing team. At the other end of the spectrum, we form every year at least a dozen of beginners, most of them will then stay in the following years and swell the ranks of our elite squad.

In the last three years we have accumulated 19.4% of all the BUCS points collected by Imperial teams, which was achieved through the sacrifice of athletes having to race up to 18 times over 3 days (compared to up to 9 for most universities) at events such as BUCS Regatta. This was only feasible when Sport Imperial contributed towards funding for entering all these events, but it is not the case anymore while subsidy has not increased.

Line Report

23066: 3548.7

COMPETITION ENTRY FEES - This is the largest area of spending (excluding training camp, which is not paid by the club but by our members) and a vital one: this is why we train for and how we are recognised as one of the best rowing club in the country. Based on the previous years and allowing for inflation, the estimated breakdown of entry costs is as follows: Pairs Head £536, British Championships £1235, BUCS Small Boats Head £224, Fours Head £612, Scullers Head £612, Teddington Head £465, Wallingford Head £264, Quintin Head £800, Peterborough Head £144, Hammersmith Head £433, Women Head of the River Race £337, Heineken Roeivierkamp £203, Head of the River Race £571, GB Trials £840, Wallingford Regatta £324, Met Regatta £2025, Henley Women Regatta £581, Reading Amateur Regatta £363, Marlow Regatta £620, Henley Royal Regatta £640. To help reducing the costs of entry fees, we request the members to pay their own fees when competing in a boat of their own, despite this being essential representation for the club. It is the case for Scullers Head (£612) and GB Trials (£840). We ask for a subsidy of £5,600 and we contribute with what's left of our membership fees: £3660 (61%). The remaining £1,117 will be paid from SGI or directly by members, depending on the state of our finances next year (we have had to ask for donations in the past).

Comments so far:

23066 - Competitions - CSPB - A

Topped Up: Club makes a good point regarding their competitive teams, and we have previously acknowledged the contribution that members make.

-----*-----

15/3/16 07:30 PM: APPEAL 32

ICSMSU Boat (660)

Current Allocation 16/17:	7315.65
Allocation 15/16:	6803.46
Amount Requested:	3000

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:
majority 0

Committee Comments:

JS: They are already receiving a significantly higher amount of grant - good appeal, but no merit on that point

BH: Club increase mainly, and also weak appeal

ES: Although they illustrate that the impact would be hire etc they already are receiving more than last year. I would like to know their increase in membership and know if the additional funding is sufficient. They ran a supposed huge event this year with less funding and more members.

AC:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	120 members @ 70
Amount Requested:	3000

NEED/PRIORITY:

We are appealing the grant awarded for ground hire. There are no other sources available to subsidise ground hire. Our club has grown significantly in this year. We managed to purchase an extra boat at the start which has allowed us to field a record breaking number of rowers in one of our biggest annual events. We have 80 rowers taking part in a race of 400 people, using our entire fleet of large boats to do so. However, in order to store the extra boat we require additional funding. We have been charged more for ground hire this year as a result of requiring more racking space. Funding will have to come from elsewhere in our budget, which will end up affecting the overall experience of being a part of our club, reducing student satisfaction and club membership. It may well be that if we do not receive appropriate finding for this line we will have to reduce the number of boats that we own, in order to reduce the cost of ground hire.

REACH: As explained above we are entering an event with over 80 members of our club involved. Include the coxes and launch drivers required for this number of contestants and we will have over 90 people involved in ONE day of racing. Not only this, but because of our larger fleet, we have been able to accommodate the rowing requirements of over 50 new members to our club who had never rowed before in their lives. Additionally we have been able to regularly have 77 members take part in Wednesday training sessions and at least 85 at the weekend. If we were to lose a boat to reduce ground hire, these numbers would drop dramatically, as our boats are used by more than one crew in a training session. Not only this, but our ground hire is a key social space when it comes to novice days and Christmas time, and a loss of this would have dire effects to our membership numbers.

MERIT:

Ground hire is a key aspect to any sport. Our hire may be a bit more than other clubs, because what we considered to be our 'grounds' is so much more. To start with, it is the location at which we are able to access the Thames for our training. It is also the place where we store all of our equipment necessary for the sport. Additionally, it allows us to form key relationships with other members of UH, as we share the same boat-house. Moreover, it provides an area in which social events can occur, from novice days taster days to Christmas celebrations and our annual Bumps party. It provides a location for race day supporters to gather at and old club members to reminisce the golden days. Over the years we have customised our bay with memorabilia, photos and paint it in our own ICSM colours. Given the significant cut to ground hire subsidy we have been allocated in next years budget, it does potentially put all of this at risk.

Line Report

21365: 1459.96

ICSMBBC hires a bay at the University of London Boathouse in Chiswick for the safe storage of our boats, launches and equipment. We currently hire 8 racks (£715.15 each) which house our 10 boats comfortably and also pay a fee for the storage of our two launches (£280.71 each). Together, this totalled a cost of £6282.62 for 2015-2016. This hire cost increases by approximately 2.8% annually (2014-2015 was £6106) and therefore we expect the fee for 2016-2017 to be £6460. In previous years, 30% (£1938) has been paid using our member's fees, with the remaining 70% (£4522) requiring subsidy. This cost is critical to meeting our Aims & Objectives as it enables us to safely store our equipment and is required to run the club.

Comments so far:

21365 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

MG: Higher rate of subsidy kept due to high cost nature of sport + specialist requirements for boat storage

-----*

15/3/16 07:40 PM: APPEAL 28**CAG Enactus (455)**

Current Allocation 16/17:	748.1
Allocation 15/16:	676.48
Amount Requested:	240

AWARD AMOUNT: 0**Committee Rec: 0**

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	70 members @ 2
Amount Requested:	240

NEED/PRIORITY:

NEED: Enactus Imperial is rapidly growing this project and we have already spent 140 pounds on this project this year after we expanded into two schools. We are expanding to 1 or 2 more schools next year and the funding would be really important to ensure their success.

NEED: Funding is currently not available from other sources because our commercial project has its revenue stalled due to the end of last commercial contract.

PRIORITY: The Technopreneurship project is one of our flagship projects which has the largest team in Enactus Imperial (15).

REACH: REACH: It is our flagship project within the school, and it resonates soundly with our business advisers and our members. It also resonates strongly with the school's values as a science and technology based school.

MERIT:

MERIT: Our members have grown strongly over the years and many have taken on leadership positions in the society after time within the project. It is a great project to develop our members and enrich the local community. Expanding into 4 schools in total will be a major step forward for our society.

Line Report

22521: 48

Technopreneurship experiment items and equipment.

An educational project, designed to inspire an interest in science and engineering through exciting student-led experiments and workshops. It also aim to improve financial literacy,

build up business acumen and inspire an entrepreneurial spirit in today's youth. This programme opens up opportunities for Year 9 students who otherwise would be deprived of exposure to the science and business fields.

Since 2015, we have expanded this social community project to 2 schools. We have a total of 80 students as our beneficiaries. Cost of each experiment per school amount to £40, with each programme consisting of three experiments each. Therefore more resources are required in purchasing experimental equipment and materials.

Experimental materials needed include ingredients for making shower gel, solar cells and dynamos.

In the next year, we are expanding the project to 2 other schools which will double the material costs for the above given reasons. Therefore, the new costs are as follows:

Total cost = Total number of schools x number of experiments in each school x cost of each experiment = $4 \times 3 \times 40 = \text{£}480$.

Comments so far:

22521 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - B

MG: Reduced subsidy slightly to more reasonable level CK

-----*

15/3/16 07:50 PM: APPEAL 6

ACC Cheerleading (147)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1753.36
Allocation 15/16:	1952.32
Amount Requested:	271

AWARD AMOUNT: -0.2

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments: Now requesting Subsidy for travel - amount requested should be double (i.e. 540). Committee has had issues this year

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	40 members @ 30
Amount Requested:	271

NEED/PRIORITY:

Costs for both travel and accommodation were included in the category for Travel Expenditure (following advice from last year, which can be seen in that year's budget), but they should be split into the relevant categories. As they were input for one category, we asked 0% for the hotel costs (£1200 total cost) and 60% for the coach travel (also £1200 total cost), and this resulted in a total subsidy of 30% across both items, ie. predicted cost £2400 and subsidy £720.

The reasoning was that members would pay fully for accommodation, and then SGI and Grant would cover travel. However, this only makes sense when considering both items in the Travel category. Since CSPB wants the items separated, so the hotel costs go into Accommodation, the subsidy we are left with for just travel alone (£271) would mean a much higher contribution from members to the travel costs would also be required. This can be rectified if the hotel cost is put into a separate category and we request the standard ~23% subsidy for Accommodation, ie. an additional £271.

When this was queried by ACC, we explained the same situation to them, which is why they initially allocated us the full requested amount.

PRIORITY: This is of high importance, as accommodation and travel are integral to the club's main aim of competing in a National competition every year, which is held outside of London.

REACH: Affects all active club members, ie. the competition team of roughly 30 members per year, who we take to Nationals.

MERIT:

The National competition is what the team works towards through the year, and it is the aim of the club to continually increase our standing in order to improve the experience for members, as well as promoting Imperial College's name in Cheerleading, which is the UK's fastest growing sport.

Line Report

22014: 271.2

Accommodation fees for National competition. 1 night * approx. £40 per competitor = £1200. We expect every competitor to fully contribute to this cost.

Travel to competition from London to Telford and back. The cheapest coach quote obtained is usually £1,200. Since members have contributed to accommodation costs, we will use our SGI to cover some of this cost, and request a subsidy for the rest.

In total, we request a 30% subsidy of £720.

Comments so far:

22014 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

Query: This should be split into accommodation and travel. They state requested subsidy zero in accommodation and 30% subsidy towards travel. This means requested subsidy should be 30% of 1200 not 2400, and subsidy should be 400 not 720

Res: Z - change cost & sub

Sabb: preserve %age cap

-----*

15/3/16 08:00 PM: APPEAL 47

ACC Ki Aikido (071)

Current Allocation 16/17: 10
Allocation 15/16: #REF!
Amount Requested: 10

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS: This is possibly my favourite appeal, second only to the one with "Reach: 0.75". Although it might be printing?

BH:

ES:

AC:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 20 members @ 0
Amount Requested: 10

NEED/PRIORITY:

REACH: 1

MERIT:

Line Report

23221: 10

Printing for freshers fair

Comments so far:

23221 - Printing Costs - CSPB - A

-----*

15/3/16 08:10 PM: APPEAL 10

ACC Volleyball (031)

Current Allocation 16/17:	4162.83
Allocation 15/16:	6806.98
Amount Requested:	2700

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: half the club are beginners. Need clarification on what this is for&

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	70 members @ 40
Amount Requested:	2700

NEED/PRIORITY:

NEED: the club is expanding its activity substantially having won an award from Volleyball England that will allow the installation of 2 courts in Ethos main hall (instead of one). This will allow us to have twice as many members attending each session, or equivalently double the number of weekly trainings. Therefore it is essential that the club has enough money to afford Ethos since we have received this prestigious award. The club is providing £400 of its own money into this installation that will benefit Ethos not only next year but also for all the years to come.

NEED: the only income of the club is membership, which has already been increased in the past years ; we cannot increase it further without discouraging members. However it only covers £4460 of the club expenses (with the targets set in the budget submitted). The club hence needs £6800 funding to be able to run. So far £4100 has been allocated, which is why we ask for £2700.

PRIORITY: ground hire is a the main expense of the club. The union grant is vital to the club.

REACH: REACH: Being able to afford Ethos, and thanks to the award that we obtained, the advanced and beginner teams will practice at the same training session over 2 nets. It will hence affect 100% of the members. It will help the social aspect of the club. And the advanced teams will have 2 weekly trainings instead of 1, improving the club's performance in BUCS and London League competitions.

MERIT:

MERIT: The club provides a unique experience to the students with weekly practices (with a qualified coach), monthly social events (charity matches, social dinners), weekly matches. We have introduced 35 complete beginners to volleyball this year, that now participate fully in the club's life, some even play in matches.

Having Ethos again next year will help us build a 2nd men's team. Women have 2 competing team, men have only one at the moment. Taking part in games is an extremely valuable student experience.

Line Report

21303: 1920

Ground Hire (Trainings):

The planned training schedule for 2016/2017 is based on the 2015/2016 season, however with additional sessions/hours to accommodate the increase in membership that the club has seen. Volleyball is a sport that is played with 6 players on each side of the net. Any training with 18 or more players becomes not only boring and ineffective (due to limited actual on-court playing time) but also dangerous due to the number of people and balls flying around (especially with beginners or when mixing players of different levels). It is therefore crucial that the club has enough training hours to split the different levels and numbers of members across the sessions.

Ethos will be requested as the main venue for training as this is the main reason behind the high membership and success of the club in the past two years.

6 hours of training per week each term will be requested at Ethos:

-weekday night 2hour training slot (for advanced training - men & women will alternate every week)

-weekend afternoon 4hour training slot (session will be split - 2hr for men intermediate/beginner, 2hr for women intermediate/beginner)

The cost is based on the 15/16 Ethos charge of £51/hr - total cost calculated as follows: total 6hr each week - 11weeks per term - 22 weeks total - $6 * 22 = 132\text{hrs} * £51/\text{hr} = £6732$.

Ground hire is as always the main cost of the Club.

Total estimated cost £6732

Expense partly paid for using SGI - Membership (60% of SGI - Membership), asking for ~£5049 Grant (subsidy level applied = 75%)

Comments so far:

21303 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

Query: Ethos Hire is £48.50 not £51.

$6732 * 48.5/51 \approx £6400$

Res: Z - change cost & sub

Topped Up: Club makes no argument regarding why this requires higher subsidy than any other Ground Hire Line.

-----*-----

15/3/16 08:20 PM: APPEAL 22

SCC Model United Nations (276)

Current Allocation 16/17:	402.04
Allocation 15/16:	1133.5
Amount Requested:	740

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Increase across the whole thing, I think? MG has not been supported throughout the process. Weak Appeal.

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	35 members @ 8
Amount Requested:	740

NEED/PRIORITY:

The budget allocation was significantly decreased compared to last year's allocation under same conditions and budgeting although all targets where met.

REACH: We offer 35 places at MUN conferences for all members in our membership target as it is a vital part of this society's work. Hence, we are reaching 100% of our members.

MERIT:

Participation in MUN conferences is an extremely valuable experience for students where they can enhance their soft skills, learn about the UN and engage with other students internationally.

Line Report

21281: 60

Oxford International Model United Nations (OxiMUN) conference pack for 10 members as delegates

Comments so far:

21281 - Conferences - CSPB - B

MG: Core Activity.

21283: 65

Cambridge University International Model United Nations (CUIMUN) conference pack for 10 members as delegates

Comments so far:

21283 - Conferences - CSPB - B

MG: Core Activity.

21283: 65

Cambridge University International Model United Nations (CUIMUN) conference pack for 10 members as delegates

Comments so far:

21284 - Conferences - CSPB - B

21284: 135

London International Model United Nations conference pack for 15 members as delegates

Comments so far:

21287 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - B

MG: Core Activity. increases accessibility

Topped Up: Line should be in A

21287: 65

Travel Costs for OxIMUN: Return Tickets for 10 delegates

Comments so far:

21287 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - B

MG: Core Activity. increases accessibility

Topped Up: Line should be in A

21288: 54.24

Travel Costs for CUIMUN: Return Tickets for 10 delegates

Comments so far:

21288 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - B

MG: Core Activity. increases accessibility

Topped Up: Line should be in A

-----*-----

15/3/16 08:30 PM: APPEAL 35

RCC Synchronized Swimming (150)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1373.18
Allocation 15/16:	1623.41
Amount Requested:	72

AWARD AMOUNT: -40.8

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS: So small it's probably worth just giving to them?

BH:

ES: They are not asking for a significant additional amount of money I would fund

AC: Criteria met and smaller amount. Seems better justified

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	20 members @ 10
Amount Requested:	72

NEED/PRIORITY:

With the initial allocation we would be getting almost 40% reduction in funding compared to last year in what is the most important activity for the club: renting the swimming pool. Although the pool renting might get become more expensive, we understand there might be more clubs demanding money so we are just only asking to receive the same amount we got last year.

- Last year we received:

> official additional training for spring term = £181.5 which corresponds to 40% of the total cost (£453.75)

- This year:

> official additional training for spring term = £109.81 (22% of the cost) which corresponds to 39.5% reduction from what we got last year.

A 40% reduction in funding of the most essential activity of the club would mean a drastic reduction of our activities, that would potentially lead to a significant reduction in our members satisfaction, our opportunities to compete or organize shows, and finally to fewer number of members. This would be a real loss as we are the only university club in the UK

that does synchronised swimming (with the exception of Aberdeen who might not be active anymore as they have not given sign of life for two years).

REACH: The pool is essential for our sport. Obviously, all of our members will benefit from having a pool to practice synchronised swimming. Our club simply does not make sense without the opportunity to practice in a pool.

Moreover our club does not function with selections: everyone, whatever their level, is allowed to compete if they so wish, and people who do not like to compete can practice for shows only, or even just work on their technic or learn routines €~for fun' without taking part in a show (although this is rare).

Competitors or not, everyone practices together at the same time, therefore a reduction in our weekly practices would affect every one of our members.

MERIT:

Our club has been growing steadily since it was created 9 years ago; while the number of members might not seem to be higher, the number of active members has steadily increased, this year we reached more than 90% of our the membership target within a few months only, and we expect more members to sign up during the summer term as it is usually the case.

Our activities have been increasing every year as well, from only one training every two weeks 9 years ago, to the first competition in 2012, 3 competitions and trainings with teams across London and even in Brighton in 2015, and this year, our first participation in a 4th competition in Brussels.

In parallel, our fees for regular training have been increasing regularly every year and are currently 2.6 times more expensive than 5 years ago. We feel that increasing our fee for this activity any more will only be detrimental to the club and lead to a decrease in the number of members. Our swimmers are currently paying £65 a year for swimming once a week for two terms and twice a week for a term. This doesn't cover for any of the competitions which are self-funded by students (currently spending between £100-£150 between traveling, accommodation, competition fees€|.for each competition they wish to attend, taking into account the £32 of allocation given to two out of our three competitions that do not take place in the UK).

Weekly pool rental is our main expense and relates to our main activity. If the subsidy is reduced by 40% compared to last year as per our initial allocation, this will force us to reduce our activities significantly throughout the year and cancel our summer term activities.

While our overall budget hasn't decreased much, this is because we are expanding our activities (taking part in one more competition) and we cannot simply redirect the money allocated for this new purpose to ground hire. Students are also covering the extra trainings needed before each competition (for later competitions we can only afford to train once a week and our swimmers need some extra sessions to be ready and feel confident).

Overall we feel that our club is improving year after year and therefore merits the support of the union to continue growing and offer more students and staff members the opportunity to learn and enjoy synchronised swimming.

Line Report

20289: 112.8

Need: WEEKLY POOL RENTALS, Additional training - once a week 1h30 pool rental in St Marys during the 2nd term for competition & shows preparation.

Reach: members who wish to compete OR have additional trainings (currently 19)

Merit: Competitions offer extra motivation for our members to overcome themselves and fully enjoy the sport. It is also important for future support we might have from sport imperial if we keep competing.

Cost: £30.25 /hr*1.5hr*11 sessions/yr= £499.13 Income: 1) Additional term fee: £10 per member deciding to take part in those trainings (increase of £2 compared to this year).

Based on 13 eager members: £10*13 members= £130. 2) SGI: end of year show tickets: 50 tickets, £5 each, minus VAT and minus cost of renting pool: 50tickets*£5 /ticket*0.8- £63pool rental= £137. Subsidy required: £499.13-£130-£137= £232.13

Comments so far:

20289 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

MG: reduced to 30% subsidy

-----*

15/3/16 08:30 PM: APPEAL 2**ICU Rag Exec (757)**

Current Allocation 16/17: 240.6
 Allocation 15/16: 485.02
 Amount Requested: 500

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc**Committee Rec: 1**

Committee Comments: Did not attend this year and it has not hampered them. Attendance at conferences is by no means unique

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 0 members @ 0
 Amount Requested: 500

NEED/PRIORITY:

This is the sole way of generating money for the MG (e.g. for donut sales, booking stalls), we spoke to sabbs and staff and 100% subsidy is ok.

REACH: 100% of the RAG committee is permitted to attend the RAG conference. The skills we develop and advice we procure will allow us to improve on the events and fundraising which impact 100% of RAG's members: the whole student body.

MERIT:

The RAG conference is a unique event that only happens every year, and allows passionate students across the UK to share their passion for fundraising.

Line Report

21115: 113

RAG Conference Entry for Committee

Comments so far:

21115 - Conferences - CSPB - A

MG: Sole way of generating money, spoke to sabbs and staff and 100% subsidy is ok.

-----*-----

15/3/16 08:30 PM: APPEAL 34

RCC Synchronized Swimming (150)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1373.18
Allocation 15/16:	1623.41
Amount Requested:	250

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Good score - but many other ways to resolve shortfall.

Committee Comments:

JS: Not actually that convinced by this appeal, it just seemed to tick most of the boxes.

BH: Amount is wrong.

They are just asking for last year's subsidy. Swimmers could pay termly fees.

ES: A strong score and I recognise their discord with a decrease in funding however despite their protest at put costs up their membership still remain at jut £10, I would award maybe 50% of what they are asking.

AC: Criteria met and a high score but agree with other points- membership contribution is still very low

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	20 members @ 10
Amount Requested:	250

NEED/PRIORITY:

With the initial allocation we would be getting almost a 40% reduction in funding compared to last year in what is the most important thing for the club: renting the swimming pool.

Although the pool renting might get become more expensive, we understand there might be more clubs demanding money so we are just only asking to receive the same amount we got last year.

- Last year we received:

> weekly training = £627.44 which corresponds to a 40% of the total cost (£1559.25)

- This year:

> weekly training = £377.34 (22% of the cost) which corresponds to a 39.8% reduction from what we got last year.

A 40% reduction in funding of the most essential activity of the club would mean a drastic reduction of our activities, that would potentially lead to a significant reduction in our members satisfaction, our opportunities to compete or organize shows, and finally to fewer number of members. This would be a real loss as we are the only university club in the UK that does synchronised swimming (with the exception of Aberdeen who might not be active anymore as they have not given sign of life for two years).

REACH: The pool is essential for our sport. Obviously, all of our members will benefit from having a pool to practice synchronised swimming. Our club simply does not make sense without the opportunity to practice in a pool.

Moreover our club does not function with selections: everyone, whatever their level, is allowed to compete if they so wish, and people who do not like to compete can practice for shows only, or even just work on their technic or learn routines €~for fun' without taking part in a show (although this is rare).

Competitors or not, everyone practices together at the same time, therefore a reduction in our weekly practices would affect every one of our members.

MERIT:

Our club has been growing steadily since it was created 9 years ago; while the number of members might not seem to be higher, the number of active members has steadily increased, this year we reached more than 90% of our the membership target within a few months only, and we expect more members to sign up during the summer term as it is usually the case.

Our activities have been increasing every year as well, from only one training every two weeks 9 years ago, to the first competition in 2012, 3 competitions and trainings with teams across London and even in Brighton in 2015, and this year, our first participation in a 4th competition in Brussels.

In parallel, our fees for regular training have been increasing regularly every year and are currently 2.6 times more expensive than 5 years ago. We feel that increasing our fee for this activity any more will only be detrimental to the club and lead to a decrease in the number of members. Our swimmers are currently paying £65 a year for swimming once a week for two terms and twice a week for a term. This doesn't cover for any of the competitions which are self-funded by students (currently spending between £100-£150 between traveling, accommodation, competition fees€|.for each competition they wish to attend, taking into account the £32 of allocation given to two out of our three competitions that do not take place in the UK).

Weekly pool rental is our main expense and relates to our main activity. If the subsidy is reduced by 40% compared to last year as per our initial allocation, this will force us to reduce our activities significantly throughout the year and cancel our summer term activities.

While our overall budget hasn't decreased much, this is because we are expanding our activities (taking part in one more competition) and we cannot simply redirect the money allocated for this new purpose to ground hire. Students are also covering the extra trainings needed before each competition (for later competitions we can only afford to train once a week and our swimmers need some extra sessions to be ready and feel confident).

Overall we feel that our club is improving year after year and therefore merits the support of the union to continue growing and offer more students and staff members the opportunity to learn and enjoy synchronised swimming.

Line Report

20288: 387.63

Need: WEEKLY POOL RENTALS, Main training - once a week 1h30 pool rental in Ethos during the 3 terms.

Reach: Full society

Merit: Ethos' pool is larger and deeper than St Marys which allows our members to practice deep underwater techniques such as lifts and upside-down leg figures: essential synchro techniques. Ethos always attracts more student freshers than St Marys.

Cost: £34.65/hr*1.5hr*33 sessions/yr= £1715.18. Income: 1) Term fee: £16 per term per member, assuming 23 members join for one term and 13 of them train all year (numbers based on year 2014-2015 and 2013-2014)= 1st term (£16 /yr*23members)+2d&3rdterms (£16 /yr*13members*2terms)= £784. 2) SGI: membership: £10 for 23 members minus 20%VAT= £184. Subsidy required: £1715.18-£784-£184= £747.18 (assuming 1% inflation)

Comments so far:

20288 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

MG: reduced to 30% subsidy

-----*-----

15/3/16 08:40 PM: APPEAL 48

A&E Choir (403)

Current Allocation 16/17:	2091
Allocation 15/16:	2243.4
Amount Requested:	785

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:
Club should justify why this is needed, given a steadily increasing SGI balance.

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: And yet the club's SGI has steadily increased, despite decreased funding.

ES: I would recommend funding to what they had last year

AC: Increasing SGI but strong appeal. Worth discussing

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	100 members @ 25
Amount Requested:	785

NEED/PRIORITY:

Music hire is a crucial expense for a choir and we feel that we demonstrate need as we have had a decrease in funding in total compared to last year, as well as a significant decrease of £279 in this line in particular from last year.

Furthermore, the total grant for the choir also decreased last year, so that would be two years of decreasing grant. This continued decrease in funding is not sustainable for the club, and if continued we will have to cut back on many things that make the club successful, the scope of music hire being one of these things. This will leave the club in a bad position, being less able to attract new members as we will have significantly less exciting repertoire, the main focus of a choir. This is why we feel that it is really crucial that we increase the amount of grant we receive for music hire.

Although it was discussed before top ups, this line is still significantly underfunded. With the current budget allocation, we would be limited to performing only the cheapest available music which would significantly affect options for what we perform. For example, any contemporary music would be far too expensive (for example Will Todd's Mass in Blue which we are performing this term) and even any music from the last 100 years or so would likely cost too much.

To show this clearly, this is a list of pieces we performed last year:

Handel's Messiah

Carmina Burana

Chilcott's Songs and Cries of London Town

Chichester Psalms

Rutter's Magnificat

With the exception of the Chilcott, these are all well known choral works that would be performed by most university choirs. However, all but Handel's Messiah were written in the last 100 years and so with our current budget we could not afford to perform most of them.

The only alternative to hiring music is to use music that is freely available online but there are many problems with this, the most important being that this is actually less cost effective.

For example, last term we performed Brahms' German Requiem and hired the music though it is available free online on cpdl (Choral Public Domain Library) here:

[http://www3.cpdl.org/wiki/index.php/Ein_deutsches_Requiem,_Op._45_\(Johannes_Brahms\)](http://www3.cpdl.org/wiki/index.php/Ein_deutsches_Requiem,_Op._45_(Johannes_Brahms))

The parts that we would have printed out to use can be found under "Individual Movements" which gives the number of pages, which in total is 131 pages. At the college printing rate of 3p per side, this gives us a cost of £3.93 per person, significantly higher than the £2 per person we estimate for hiring Autumn Term music in the budget. The same can be seen with Handel's Messiah (though even more extreme) which we performed last Autumn:

[http://www3.cpdl.org/wiki/index.php/Messiah,_HWV_56_\(George_Frideric_Handel\)](http://www3.cpdl.org/wiki/index.php/Messiah,_HWV_56_(George_Frideric_Handel))

We make every attempt to minimise the cost of music hire. During the summer term we perform multiple shorter pieces and often use music that we own, this is reflected in the budget where we have a lower cost of £1.50 per person. However our music library is not big enough to use more than 1 or 2 pieces from it a year without repeating the pieces we perform frequently.

The ability to perform interesting choral music is a key part of the choir and allows us to put on exciting performances. Performing exclusively older classical music would be boring for our members and for our audiences, and is not something that you would see from any university choir. If we were only limited to older classical music, we would expect a significant decrease in members and attendance at concerts which would leave the club unsustainable.

REACH: This affects 100% of our members, being limited in what music we can perform will affect the core nature of the choir and therefore affect everyone.

MERIT:

The ability to perform interesting and varied choral music is a key part of the choir and allows us to put on exciting performances which are well attended students at Imperial (at least one concert a year is in the Great Hall, the others are nearby). If we were limited to only performing older classical music, we believe the interest in our concerts would decrease to the detriment of the wider student base.

Currently we put on exciting, accessible and good value concerts which draw large student audiences and so help to expose the whole student population to choral and classical music, so benefits the college in general and not just the members of the choir. For example, this term we are performing a jazz mass, Mass in Blue, accompanied by a jazz trio and we are charging just £3 for student tickets. This sort of music and these sorts of concerts appeal to those students that might not typically go to choir concerts, but is also much more expensive and we would absolutely not have been able to put on this concert with the reduced funding.

Line Report

22059: 471

Music Hire:

Copies of music are essential for performance, as are the rights to perform the work (Music cannot legally be performed without these fees). Additionally we cannot use the Music Deposit to hire scores.

For our autumn concert we usually perform an older classical piece, which is slightly cheaper than a more modern piece. In our spring concert we usually perform a piece of 20th century music, which necessitates a higher cost, due to higher performance rights and difficulty of sourcing the music. Additionally for our summer concert whilst we endeavour to use our own music supplies for this concert, this is sometimes not possible.

Based on our current membership we anticipate needing 130 copies (slightly less will be needed in summer due to a lower turnout due to exam season)

Breakdown:

130 copies of music for choir (autumn) at £2.00 per person,

130 copies of each piece of music for choir (Spring) at £3.50 per person,

110 copies of each piece of music for choir (Summer) at £1.50 per person,

Subtotal: £880.

Orchestral Music Hire & PRS Fees £300 per term = £900.

Total of £1780.

We expect to cover 50% of this by SGI (membership costs and ticket sales), and 50% covered

by Grant, so we ask for £890 in grant.

Comments so far:

22059 - Copyright Materials - CSPB - A

MG: Decreased cost and subsidy request due to lower membership target for next year.

Topped Up: this is less convincing - repertoire cost already corrects out, and music hire is something that all performing arts societies are required to manage.

-----*-----

15/3/16 08:50 PM: APPEAL 38

ACC Dodgeball (063)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1196.98
Allocation 15/16:	1921.94
Amount Requested:	1500

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Need justification re: Union space situation, and their engagement with finding alternative space.

Committee Comments:

JS: The club can't use Union spaces as they were actively damaging them by throwing balls around. "Cancelled at short notice" neglects to mention that part...

BH: Appeal a bit wooly - but points about access to Union spaces well received.

ES: I do not believe this should be funded significantly higher this is clearly a case that needs to be discussed with Sports Imperial we or they should not have to pay more due to cuts to times....Also in their funding request they say they are using Ethos and we told them theyre costs were wrong and theyve argued against the fact they are using more expensive facilities which they dont reference. They do not address 22585 at all.

AC: Is UDH really an appropriate space for dodgeball? Given the nature of the sport I believe they would need to use somewhere like Ethos. Many clubs cannot use Ethos for all training. Unsure as to how their engagment with Union to find alternative spaces has been

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	40 members @ 25
Amount Requested:	1500

NEED/PRIORITY:

In general our club budget for next year is looking very similar to the budget submission from two years ago, however we are receiving a reduced amount of money compared to that. If the reason for this is that our club did not meet its membership target from last year; we do believe that there were external factors leading to this not bring our fault. We had full intention of growing the club further from last year and so it could reach more students.

However, we were impeded in this aim when the Union cancelled two of our three hours of weekly training sessions at extremely short notice. These were supposed to be in UDH on Thursday every week and we were no longer allowed to train in the union spaces. Due to this we were only left with one hour of training a week in Ethos on Wednesday evenings for the first two terms. And furthermore the Union offered no reasonable alternative solutions to replace our lost training time. The one hour of training on Wednesday evenings we were left with was detrimental to us this year in many ways. It was insufficient training time to improve our skills for the current and new members; and more importantly it meant that many potential members that couldn't make the Wednesday session, but could have made the Thursday session were unable to join. It also gave the club less value for money which would make it less appealing to those looking to join. Additionally, due to the Union no longer allowing us to use the union spaces, we have had to change the location of our training sessions at greater expense to members. While we intend to begin charging our members for training sessions, we wish to keep the amount that our members pay at a reasonable level in order to maintain and hopefully increase current participation levels and so believe that there is good reason for our allocation to be increased. We believe that increasing our allocation for next year will allow us to get back on the right path to grow as a club.

REACH: This would affect all of our members as the increased budget would allow us to offer more opportunities for training for them and reduce their costs. Additionally almost all members of the club will attend tournaments throughout the year, with many members attending several tournaments. Consequently the ability to subsidize travel fees to a reasonable total benefits the majority of the club and will boost participation.

MERIT:

Dodgeball is a fairly niche sport, so a unique selling point of it is that it has generally low cost to the players so that they can experience a new sport without having to pay a great deal of money for it. The reduction of budget increases costs for current and new members, which will make it harder for us to make it appealing for new players, who might not be able to continue playing and improving in a new sport simply because of the cost.

Line Report

22554: 365.44

Ethos Sports Hall Hire

We would need at least one hour of training in the Ethos Sports Hall and two hours of training at Heston Sports Hall per week in first and second term. For Ethos Sports Hall: at the cost of £51 per hour, we require a total of 22 hours (1 hour per week, 22 weeks), so a total cost of £1122. For Heston Sports Hall: at a cost of £12.50 per hour, we require a total of 44 hours (2 hours per week, 22 weeks), so a total cost of £550.

Term 1: $(51 * 11) + (12.50 * 2 * 11) = £836$

Term 2: $(51 * 11) + (12.50 * 2 * 11) = £836$

Total = £1672

We wish to charge £1 per person for training at Ethos next year, so assuming an average attendance of 20 people per session this generates an income of $20 * 22 = £440$, which will go towards covering the ground hire, which brings the cost down to $(1672 - 440 =) £1232$. We expect our SGI from memberships costs and tie sales to be $(25 * 40 * 0.95) + 100 = £1050$. The remaining SGI after being used for affiliations shall be $(1050 - 352.5 =) £697.5$. This shall be split proportionally between Ground Hire and Competition Entry. So for Ground Hire we shall use $((1232 / (1232 + 750)) * 697.5 =) £433.56$ of the remaining SGI. So we ask for a subsidy of $(1232 - 433.56 =) £798.44$ here.

Comments so far:

22554 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

MG: Ethos is å£48.50 per hour

22585: 452.03

Minibus Hire for travel to tournaments

All calculations are made based on similar location of and attendance as this year. We predict we will be going to 14 tournaments, all with a 15 seater minibus; this comes to $(14 * 101) = £1414$ for these hires. So in total flat hire for the minibuses next year should total £1414.

Previous years' average petrol costs per minibus hire has been £42.58. With 14 minibus hires being predicted across next year, this brings the estimated fuel cost for next year to be $(42.58 * 14) = £586.12$.

Minibus hire and fuel costs total $(1414 + 586.12) = £2000.12$

Assuming we'll take 7 people to 7 of the tournaments and 13 people to the other 7 tournaments, this makes $((7 * 7) + (13 * 7) =) 140$ attendees.

This gives an average cost per person per tournament for travel fees of £14.29. These costs often add up and it can be a hindering factor in involvement with tournaments. So we wish to subsidise this to £9.30.

So for total attendees, this gives an income of £1302. The subsidy required is therefore $(2000.12 - 1302 =) £698.12$.

Comments so far:

22585 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

22585: 452.03

Minibus Hire for travel to tournaments

All calculations are made based on similar location of and attendance as this year. We predict we will be going to 14 tournaments, all with a 15 seater minibus; this comes to $(14 * 101) = £1414$ for these hires. So in total flat hire for the minibuses next year should total £1414.

Previous years' average petrol costs per minibus hire has been £42.58. With 14 minibus hires being predicted across next year, this brings the estimated fuel cost for next year to be $(42.58 * 14) = £586.12$.

Minibus hire and fuel costs total $(1414 + 586.12) = £2000.12$

Assuming we'll take 7 people to 7 of the tournaments and 13 people to the other 7 tournaments, this makes $((7 * 7) + (13 * 7) =) 140$ attendees.

This gives an average cost per person per tournament for travel fees of £14.29. These costs

often add up and it can be a hindering factor in involvement with tournaments. So we wish to subsidise this to £9.30.

So for total attendees, this gives an income of £1302. The subsidy required is therefore $(2000.12 - 1302 =) £698.12$.

Comments so far:

-----*-----

15/3/16 09:20 PM: APPEAL BA08

RCC Mountaineering (116)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1931.9
Allocation 15/16:	3322.93
Amount Requested:	1200

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Bring costings.

Committee Comments:

JS: No costings?

BH: Well argued approach. Cf 44, outdoor. Very sig impact. No costings provided.

ES: Need to discuss exact amount to be awarded

AC: Discuss funding but significant reduction therefore should be considered

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	75 members @ 20
Amount Requested:	1200

NEED/PRIORITY:

Overall, the club has seen a reduced grant awarded of over £1300 (£3322.93 to £1931.90) from last year', despite having very similar estimated costs.

This hits three key areas most of all: Minibus hire, fuel costs and safety equipment - All of which are necessary for our club's core activity of running climbing trips. As a club, we do not provide subsidy to our members on the vast amount they spend on indoor climbing, training and travel (as other clubs do) to feed this directly into being able to offer them weekend trips to climb outdoors at a reasonable and subsidised price. The reduced budget would mean doubling the cost of these trips to our members, an unaffordable and unacceptable action to most students.

Minibus hire and fuel costs have been allocated over £1000 less grant towards them, which amounts to half the trips in a term. Simply put, our reduced budget would half the normal activity of the club.

Core equipment also received half the budget from last year (£638.38 to £313.58). This provides obvious issues for our club. It is unsafe and unallowable for us to provide members

of the club with equipment that is in need of repair or retirement. We operate in a high risk sport and therefore ensuring we regularly update our safety equipment is paramount. We cannot use the Harlington fund for this equipment as it is part of our current core activity, so does not fall within its scope. Without it, we cannot run climbing trips.

These reductions in grant would render us unable to run 50% of our core activity.

REACH: The reduction in grant awarded from last year hits our core activity, climbing trips, the most prominently. Although difficult to quantify, I would estimate that over 90% of the club attend at least one trip per year and close to 100% will borrow equipment once or more. Therefore, the scope of this cut really does hit the entire club.

MERIT:

Over the last few years we've had members of our club run expeditions from Yosemite Valley to summiting the Matterhorn and everything in between. In doing so, they have learnt to big wall, aid climb, assess avalanches, ice climb and so much more. Even more importantly, these skills have been passed down through the club from student to student. We do not have formal instructors in the club, experience is simply passed down through our trips and expeditions. Without the funding for these activities, it will be simply impossible to do so and this wealth of experience will be lost.

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*-----

15/3/16 09:30 PM: APPEAL 43

OSC Bulgarian (345)

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	100
Amount Requested:	330

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS: Not fundable.

BH: It was a silly mistake. And the lines are, in fact, unfundable.

ES: Even if they had made a mistake they are asking for funding for consumables and have provided no costing. They are also asking for three times as much as last year

AC: Lines unfundable. Didn't bother to rank

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	20 members @ 2
Amount Requested:	330

NEED/PRIORITY:

There are two reasons for the current appeal.

NEED: Funding is not available from other sources.

When we were filling the budget form, at the same time we were currently in negotiations with Studypool who kindly had offered to sponsor us. However, although we fulfilled the conditions they had for the sponsorship, once it became their turn to fulfil their part, they stopped replying to our emails, and in fact, they were strictly against signing any official contract. Furthermore, after we did some research, it turned out that the person who contacted us no longer actually works for Studypool, so possibly they used his work email and name without his knowledge, so we were very disappointed.

Therefore, we decided that they are an unreliable business partner and found ourselves in a situation to look for a different source of finance and we hope the Union will support us about this.

SILLY MISTAKE:

When we were filling the budget form, as it can be seen from the lines mentioned earlier, we have filled in the "Subsidy" field. However, to our understanding, this is only filled in when a club is requesting a subsidy from the Union, however, as you can see "Budgeting Board Applying To" is set to "CSPB - C" which is not logical. The reason for this is that when we were filling the form, since we had some discussions whether the society will have a membership fee next year or not (in the end we decided to have a fee), we have missed changing the budgeted board to CSPB - A (core), despite checking the budgeted form for errors from different members.

REACH: 100% primary, because funding is mainly needed to hold a celebration for the national holiday of Bulgaria (3rd of March), when it is a good period since all our members do not have any exams or strict deadlines, so they are always happy to come to such event. Furthermore, provided that this year's national holiday's gathering turned out to be a great success, we believe next year we will have even more members interested in it.

MERIT:

First of all, as a cultural society related to the Bulgarian culture, we are the only currently existing society which Bulgarian people and people interested in Bulgaria can become a member of.

Furthermore, compared to the last two years, the society has become much more active and we even have non-Bulgarian members. Fact is that for the first time one of our community members - our web-master, is not Bulgarian, but is always eagerly contributing to the society.

For the first time we have

Line Report

22320: 0

We are planning of making a dinner for the National holiday of Bulgaria for the 3rd of March.

The event will take place in a Bulgarian restaurant in London which provides a traditional Bulgarian food and traditional Bulgarian music.

The meaning behind the celebration is to unite further the members of the Bulgarian society and provide them with opportunities to play typical Bulgarian games in such dinners.

Furthermore, provided that we have any international members, for them it would be a perfect opportunity to have a taste of the Bulgarian culture, learn more about the language and the Bulgarian traditions.

Comments so far:

22320 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

MG: Board C is for events not requesting funding

22352: 0

We are thinking of organising a summer picnic during the last term of university. The picnic will take place during the weekend provided that there are some students doing a placement during this time, so for them it will be easier to come at such time.

Light snacks and drinks will be provided, as well as carpets for the people to sit on.

Regarding any sports activities, it is possible to ask other sports societies to lend us some

sports equipment such as balls and rackets. If that is not possible, the society would have to consider buying such equipment or finding alternatives ways of getting one (such as asking friends).

Comments so far:

22352 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

MG: Board C is for events not requesting funding

22352: 0

We are thinking of organising a summer picnic during the last term of university. The picnic will take place during the weekend provided that there are some students doing a placement during this time, so for them it will be easier to come at such time.

Light snacks and drinks will be provided, as well as carpets for the people to sit on.

Regarding any sports activities, it is possible to ask other sports societies to lend us some sports equipment such as balls and rackets. If that is not possible, the society would have to consider buying such equipment or finding alternatives ways of getting one (such as asking friends).

Comments so far:

22364 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

22364: 0

Christmas dinner is planned to take place in a restaurant near the university and it would be in late November, since people have exams during December, so they would feel calmer and enjoy the dinner more during that time.

Regarding this event, it would just be an opportunity for people to gather, see how each of them is doing, as well as, establish the number of members interested in the society.

Comments so far:

-----*-----

15/3/16 09:40 PM: APPEAL 26

ICSMSU Lacrosse (668)

Current Allocation 16/17:	3724.5
Allocation 15/16:	5094.36
Amount Requested:	2200

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Amount is wrong. There is space for this club.

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	75 members @ 55
Amount Requested:	2200

NEED/PRIORITY:

Every single line being appealed has been slashed when compared to last years budget and this is despite having smashed our subscriptions target for the year and having the most successful recruitment the club has ever seen. We cannot provide an adequate service to our fee paying members, which are increasing every year with a reduced budget, it simply does not add up.

I have only appealed lines in our budget which without the appropriate funding would jeopardise the ability of the club to function at a basic level. These are our top priority lines. An increase is needed in our affiliation line as we enter 4 teams into competitions as well as having to pay the governing body of english lacrosse and the current budget does not even come close to this payment at 22.6%.

The current allocation of 22.6% for minibuses is just not adequate enough for the number of minibuses we need to run. Minibus expenditure is what the club spends the most money on and with minibus fees rising our grant for this has been cut despite no change in the frequency we need. Our Mens, Ladies and mixed 1st teams compete in some of the highest leagues in the south of England and as a result need to travel as far away as Canterbury and Cambridge. Getting to these places isn't cheap and currently even after increasing what we ask our members to pay for using the buses we will not be able to make ends meet.

Our ladies 1st team is on course to secure promotion to the south premiership and our mens 1st team has just missed out on the league crown. The only way to hit that next level is to improve our training and coaching set up. Already money is stretched with the men playing in poor light conditions at harlington to save money and yet our budget has been cut further. Without training we can hope to perform on game day.

Lacrosse is a dangerous sport and thus the correct protective equipment is needed. We are in dire need to replace our protective equipment, especially our helmets. The club will not jeopardise our players safety by using substandard equipment, this isn't an issue of

replacing 'last years models' it is an issue of player safety and without more money for this line we risk serious injury to our players.

REACH: I have only appealed lines that have far reaching effects on the clubs ability to function. With the club offering so many different types and levels at which to play the sport the lines that affect minibuses and training affect over 75% of the clubs subs paying members.

Please bear in mind this club is unique in that we incorporate both mens, ladies and mixed sides so whilst for example mens training may only reach 40% of the club, training in general will reach over 90% and thus becomes eligible for appeal under the REACH category.

MERIT:

Sports clubs can be based on results so i will let those do the talking:

Mens 1st team: 2nd in South division 1, quarter finalists in the national cup.

Womens 1st team: semi finalists in the national cup, 1st in south division 1, currently unbeaten in the league and on course for promotion into the South Premiership

Mixed team: 2nd in the London mixed league.

We are also in the Top 5 clubs in terms of bringing in BUCS points to imperial college.

This is a club moving from strength to strength. this club has by no means finished expanding and we have visions for the club to keep getting bigger. There is the very real possibility that Imperial will have a premiership playing side in our Ladies 1st team for 2017, which is an unprecedented success. However our budget has been cut by £1369,86 since last year. Im not asking for the world but without at least the same budget as last year we put the club at risk of stagnating and not progressing to where it can go.

Line Report

21776: 139.44

Affiliation Fees:

BUCS

1 x Men's Team: £145.00

2 x Women's Teams: £290.00

English Lacrosse Association Affiliation Fees

1 x £100

LUSL

1 x Mixed Team: £82

These fees are an absolute necessity for our club to run as without them we would not be able to enter any competitions to play lacrosse

Total Requested subsidy: £617.00

Comments so far:

21776 - Affiliation Fees - CSPB - A

21779: 819.02

Minibuses - Away Matches

4 Teams (one men's, two ladies', one mixed); each with 5 away League matches. Men's and Ladies' teams may have cup matches away (assumed 1 each) = 24 uses of minibus
 Without minibuses for away games it would be impossible for us to play in them as we sometimes have to travel to places as far away as Portsmouth and Canterbury. Our members would have to miss teaching time and pay a considerable sum out of their own pocket to play the sport they are already paying to do so. This is a priority in terms of allowing our club to perform as best it can on the pitch.

Minibus Hire: £101

Fuel Cost: £50 (based on average away match travel distance and 2016/17 fuel costs)

Trips: 24

Total: £3,624

Each player pays £1 for match, club pays £1 from subs

15 players per team, £30 collected per match, 24 matches, players pay: £360

Club pays £360 from subs

Total requested Subsidy: £3624 - £720 = £2904

Comments so far:

21779 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

MG: Attendees can contribute more

Topped Up: Not clear why this is more deserving than other travel lines, as cost is scaled.

21779: 819.02

Minibuses - Away Matches

4 Teams (one men's, two ladies', one mixed); each with 5 away League matches. Men's and Ladies' teams may have cup matches away (assumed 1 each) = 24 uses of minibus
 Without minibuses for away games it would be impossible for us to play in them as we sometimes have to travel to places as far away as Portsmouth and Canterbury. Our members would have to miss teaching time and pay a considerable sum out of their own pocket to play the sport they are already paying to do so. This is a priority in terms of allowing our club to perform as best it can on the pitch.

Minibus Hire: £101

Fuel Cost: £50 (based on average away match travel distance and 2016/17 fuel costs)

Trips: 24

Total: £3,624

Each player pays £1 for match, club pays £1 from subs

15 players per team, £30 collected per match, 24 matches, players pay: £360

Club pays £360 from subs

Total requested Subsidy: £3624 - £720 = £2904

Comments so far:

21780 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

MG: This only covers team members. Users should contribute towards cost.

Topped Up: Not clear why this is more deserving than other travel lines, as cost is scaled.

21780: 478.4

Minibuses - Home Matches

Having minibuses for home games allows us to get our best team out each week as players with compulsory teaching until 12 can still make the start time for home games (taking public transport would not allow this). It also allows us to turn up to games stress-free and perform at the highest level. Again this is an important aspect of the lacrosse club being able to function smoothly on a day to day basis.

4 Teams (one men's, two ladies', one mixed); each with 5 home League matches. Men's and Ladies' teams may have cup matches home (assumed 1 each) = 24 uses of minibus

Minibus Hire: £79.80

Fuel Cost: £8.40 (based on travel distance to Harlington sports ground and fuel costs for 2016/17)

Trips: 24

Total: £2116.80

Each player pays £1 for match, 15 players per team, £15 collected per match, 24 matches, players pay: £360

Total requested Subsidy: £2116.80 - £360 = £1756.80

Comments so far:

21781 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

21781: 819.02

Minibuses – Training

With our trainings taking place Monday evening, public transport is not an option as the travel times are too long. Therefore a minibus is a requirement so we can train regularly to perform to our best ability on the pitch.

1 Men's Team

10 Trainings per Term

20 Trainings Total

Minibus Hire: £52.60

Fuel: £8.40

Total Cost: £1220

No player cost for training

Club pays for 25% of training from subs = £295.00

Total requested subsidy: £925.00

Comments so far:

21781 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

21782: 316.4

Ground Hire - Women's Training

Both teams train at Linford Christie Sports Ground. This is because floodlight quality is of an adequate standard to provide safe conditions in which to carry out women’s lacrosse training in the dark. Hiring Linford Christie is the cheapest alternative and is again a necessity for our ladies to train effectively to perform the best they can on a Wednesday afternoon. Our women’s training is extremely well attended, usually by over 40 girls each week and thus

Coaching

Ladies: Two teams require fortnightly training

20 sessions with coach

£35 per session + £2.50 for travel costs = £37.50

Total: 20 x £37.50 = £750

Mens:

Comments so far:

21782 - Ground Hire - CSPB - A

Topped Up: Fixed cost - however quite low, and very well attended, so there is a question of whether members bear enough of this.

21783: 282.5

Coaching

Ladies: Two teams require fortnightly training

20 sessions with coach

£35 per session + £2.50 for travel costs = £37.50

Total: 20 x £37.50 = £750

Mens: 1 Team require fortnightly coaching

10 sessions with coach
£50 per session (travel included)

Total Cost: 10 x £50 = £500

Total Cost for Ladies and Mens: £1250.00

Club funds half of coaching from subs: £625.00

Total Requested subsidy: £625.00

With our mens, ladies 1's and 2's consistently competing at the top of their leagues the next step is promotion and this can only be done with external coaching, introducing new ideas to the club. Our weekly training sessions benefit over 60 imperial students consistently each week and with these two factors considered coaching forms a large part of taking this club to the next level.

Comments so far:

21783 - Instructors - CSPB - A

21784: 271.2

Referees

2 Refs needed for each home match for each of our 4 teams.

24 home matches

2 referees per match

£25 per ref

£50 per match

Total 24 x £50 = £1200

Club pays for 50% from subs = £600

Requested subsidy: £600

Comments so far:

21784 - Referees - CSPB - A

-----*

APPEAL BA10

ICSMSU Lacrosse (668)

Current Allocation 16/17:

Allocation 15/16:

Amount Requested: 1500

AWARD AMOUNT: 5094.36

Committee Rec:

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: members @
Amount Requested: 1500

NEED/PRIORITY:

"Last year we felt we obtained a budget to meet our needs as a club. We have two teams pushing for promotion into the BUCS Premier League and this year a Ladies' 2s team who have excelled to a league position they have never achieved before. Lacrosse is a very equipment heavy sport and everything needs constant maintenance. It is an expensive sport to play and for the club to attract new members, it is integral we sell it as an affordable sport.

Slashing our budget by £1,370 will have greatly damaging consequences to fresher recruitment next year. I understand our Club Treasurer has submitted appeals for Affiliation Fees, Transport, Ground Hire and Equipment, however I feel as Club Captain I should make a statement about our budget as a whole and why we think we deserve more.

Other sports clubs need not sell their club as much as we have to. Most male players who come to university have never touched a lacrosse stick before. If in the very first training session we don't have enough sticks to go around, the chance of people staying is slim. The same goes for helmets and pads: these are even more important. Lacrosse can be a brutal sport and good protection is required by law €" having run down kit not only endangers our members

REACH: but again

MERIT:

is less appealing to new players. A huge part of our budget every year goes to buying new sticks €" both for the men's and ladies' teams €" as well as replacing and ordering new

Line Report

-----*-----

15/3/16 09:50 PM: APPEAL 55

ACC Exec (000)

Current Allocation 16/17:	474.6
Allocation 15/16:	2392.29
Amount Requested:	2100

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

ACC will be there. Need to talk about whether there are other factors.

Committee Comments:

JS: Possibly not able to fully fund given the size of the appeals pot? But worth funding.

BH:

ES: There is no breakdown of these costs... This also happens every year maybe they need to think of more ways to raise money. Discussion needed

AC: Definitely worth funding but very large amount requested. Would advise looking into other funding sources- ICSM ask for contribution from clubs who put their freshers on board

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	0 members @ 0
Amount Requested:	2100

NEED/PRIORITY:

NEED: Club Is receiving a decreased Grant across their total budget, or have expanded their activity significantly - Last year ACC Exec received £2392.29, this year the proposed amount is £474.60

NEED: CSPB did not discuss the line before topups

NEED: Funding is not available from other sources - bar nights is the main income generating activity and these tend to break even over the year

NEED: Makes clear the impact of reduced funding on the general club budget - this is the only line!!!

PRIORITY: Appeal line is a key part of club activity - without this money will not be able to provide transport for fresher's trials, this will result in a large decrease in participation from the outset

REACH: This affects approx 500 members

MERIT:

Valuable part of student experience as it makes the trials more accessible, bringing more people into sport

Line Report

23202: 474.6

Travel - coaches for freshers trials

Comments so far:

23202 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB – A

APPEALS RECOMMENDED TO REJECT

-----*

APPEAL 40

RCC Gliding (112)

Current Allocation 16/17: from £133 per member to £155
 Allocation 15/16: is the only practical way for the gliding club to continue its activities
 Amount Requested: 1907

AWARD AMOUNT: which allows full participation from all Undergraduate

Committee Rec: helped 8 participate in national competitions and produced its third world champion. Without ongoing modest investment

Committee Comments: many of which are approaching solo level

Appeal Content

Club Membership: the club trained 105 students members @ continuing to allow this unique sport to be accessible to so many members. In 2015
 Amount Requested: 1907

NEED/PRIORITY:

"The proposed reduction in subsidy for insurance costs makes the club unsustainable. Third party insurance is a legal requirement for the operation and storage of gliders, just as for cars, and insurance against damage and theft is essential to maintain the considerable value of the Union assets €" over £130

REACH: 000 - represented by the gliders and their equipment and trailers.

Gliding

MERIT:

like boating

Line Report

the Gliding club has increased its membership target from 55 to 85: whilst providing only minimal extra total income. However who pay for minibus travel to the airfield (£10 to Hampshire)

Comments so far:

who charge 28p/min. Many other university gliding clubs yet has also received large reductions in grant. This reflects the high interest in the sport: the club requires its own gliders in order to fulfil regular core activity as demand for Lasham gliders is high at the weekends when regular trips occur. Therefore launch fees and per minute in the air. These costs are already high (£50 for a typical day trip for a student learning to fly; at least 15 trips needed to fly solo). Often

Comments so far:

who also own gliders

yet has also received large reductions in grant. This reflects the high interest in the sport: the club requires its own gliders in order to fulfil regular core activity as demand for Lasham gliders is high at the weekends when regular trips occur. Therefore launch fees and per minute in the air. These costs are already high (£50 for a typical day trip for a student learning to fly; at least 15 trips needed to fly solo). Often

Comments so far:

do not charge any fees for glider use (e.g. UCL

but also represents the growing costs of the equipment maintenance: it is not possible to implement any significant usage fee increase that would be sufficient to cover the proposed reduction in insurance subsidy.

Furthermore

this amounts to total member costs of at least £1

Comments so far:

Nottingham and Oxford). Last year

travel and affiliation costs that are inevitable as an expanding club: the club requires its own gliders in order to fulfil regular core activity as demand for Lasham gliders is high at the weekends when regular trips occur. Therefore

000 annually. A more experienced member who enters competitions

Comments so far:

Nottingham and Oxford). Last year

putting further pressure on the budget. Membership cannot be practically increased more than this: whilst an equally active experienced member will often expect to fly for a few hours at a time. Therefore

will have costs of up to £4

Comments so far:

these fees raised the club £2

in order to ensure that all members have the chance to fly since there is a limit on the number of people who can fly on a given trip. There is therefore no scope to offset insurance costs with increased membership. Furthermore: usage fees are a much greater proportion of cost for the experienced member in comparison to less experienced members for the same amount of activity. An increase in usage fees would cause a disproportionate cost burden and out-price many experienced members. Experienced members will often complete their training during their time at Imperial 000 annually.

However

Comments so far:

500

an increased membership cost will only serve to reduce the total membership and therefore reduce total membership income overall.

Beyond Union support: volunteer huge amounts of time and are very dedicated to the club by becoming instructors

travel

Comments so far:

which contributes towards the insurance

-----*

APPEAL 30

ICSMSU Football (656)

Current Allocation 16/17:	4158.73
Allocation 15/16:	3627.25
Amount Requested:	1750

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	65 members @ 45
Amount Requested:	1750

NEED/PRIORITY:

A qualified and experienced coach is essential to meeting our key aims and objectives as a club.

The cost of a coach will increase by approximately £2000 next year to £3500. This is because our current coach will have new contractual obligations next seasons, and he is the only one who charges us a reduced fee at his UEFA training level, meaning we will have to find someone else at a much greater cost. Reduced funding will limit our membership as we will not be able to offer the same standard of training for our members, thus attracting fewer new members in the club. It also greatly hinders the chance of success in competitions for all of our teams due to the inevitably lower standard of football expressed.

REACH: As the coach will attend all training sessions for all 4 squads, this will benefit 100% of our members.

MERIT:

Each year we are growing as a club due to a large intake of first year students and new older year members. This increase is due to the invaluable experience of meeting new people

with similar interests, and the great opportunity to represent the university at the inter-collegiate level in the most popular sport in the UK . We strive to provide a high quality environment for all those who wish to be involved in football at university, and we would be unable to reach this level without an adequately trained and constantly available coach.

Line Report

22633: 1400

Coach- Last year the club paid £1460 for our coach over the course of the season, and this year we are likely to be paying close to £2000 due to greater coach attendance at matches. This includes attending 2 training sessions and 2 1st XI matches per week. The quality of football continues to improve and this wouldn't be possible without a coach. Unfortunately, we will have to change coach next year due to our current coach's new contractual obligations. The rate our current coach is charging us (approximately £200 per month) is very low for what he does compared to other coaches, meaning it is very likely that this expenditure will rise next year. Based on standard UEFA B Licensed coach fees, we are likely to be charged approximately £350 per month for the same amount of coaching hours next year. Our current coach in a year is paid for the equivalent of 10 standard months (as some months he works significantly more with us e.g.: near Varsity). For the same amount of time next year we will have to pay £3500.

The club will put £455.71 from membership fees towards this, leaving £3044.29 requested." Comments so far:

22633 - Instructors - CSPB - A

Topped Up: Club membership fee can easily cover any shortfall. No costs have been provided for the increase in expenditure.

-----*

APPEAL BA04

ICSMSU General Practice (714)

Current Allocation 16/17:	#N/A
Allocation 15/16:	#N/A
Amount Requested:	300

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: No budget submitted.

ES:

AC: No engagement whatsoever. Can apply to ADF

Appeal Content

Club Membership: #N/A members @ #N/A
Amount Requested: 300

NEED/PRIORITY:

There has been a mix up with our budget and whether it was submitted on time. We need a certain amount of money to be able to put on events and provide further new events, such as tutoring workshops next year.

REACH: Our events bring in from 30-40 people, which is roughly 50% of our club membership. This number will rise when we start workshops next year and have more events, as we grow.

MERIT:

Not that I am aware of.

Line Report

WHOLE: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 51

ICSMSU Neurology (720)

Current Allocation 16/17: 0
Allocation 15/16: #REF!
Amount Requested: 90

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: The cost is still not subsidisable.

ES: No this is consumables

AC: Unfundable so didn't score

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 50 members @ 0
 Amount Requested: 90

NEED/PRIORITY:

Getting experts to talk about their recent research gives our members an invaluable insight into Neuroscience. Additionally, we ensure that our first speaker focuses on Neuroscience as a whole, and not the small details, as we hope to reach out to non-Medical and -Biological students as well.

REACH: Our first event of the year is the talk so this is an important opportunity to set the tone right for the rest of the year.

MERIT:

We are the only society in Imperial to focus solely on Neuroscience and we have contacts with neuroscientists and neurologists from both within and outside the Imperial College Healthcare Trust.

Line Report

20692: 0

Gifts (ie a box of chocolate) for speakers who give a neuroscience-related talk or conduct the termly Journal Club meeting.

The talks expose our members and the Imperial College community to neuroscience. They are not exclusive to medical students as have a mixture of talks with variety levels of difficulty to cater to non-medics as well.

Journal Club meetings are mainly for students who are interested in research. Our speakers are often Neuroscience BSc graduates or PhD students.

We expect to hold 2 talks and 1 Journal Club meeting per term with £10 per gift.

$3 \times 3 \times 10 = £90$

Comments so far:

20692 - Speakers - CSPB - C

-----*

APPEAL 24**ICSMSU Psychiatry (715)**

Current Allocation 16/17: 150.32
 Allocation 15/16: 52.9
 Amount Requested: 20

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Amount is wrong. Topped up already. Funding can be sourced elsewhere.

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 30 members @ 2
Amount Requested: 20

NEED/PRIORITY:

PRIORITY: Funds for Wellbeing Week are prioritised above all other events; it is the most resource-intensive activity on our calendar.

NEED: Therefore, if were to receive significantly less than predicted, the deficit could only be made up by sacrificing other events. This would impair the general function of the society and reduce our flexibility in all other financial decisions.

REACH: REACH: This event reaches 100% of our club membership and, crucially, the wider medical school community. Our line-up of activities, speeches, stalls and discussions encourage engagement with the issues surrounding psychiatry among those who would never normally participate.

MERIT:

MERIT: Wellbeing Week is not just about psychiatry; it has a strong welfare component which, in some small way, can change lives. For example, this year an external speaker taught students how to increase happiness in their daily lives, whilst another event taught meditation and yoga techniques which can be carried forward in one's own time.

Line Report

22359: 51.6

Wellbeing Week: SPEAKERS. Wellbeing Week has been highly successfully in 2014/15 with great feedback from students. It is a core activity in our society and vital to our aim of promoting happiness, fulfilment and wellbeing at Imperial. Allows students at one of the most prestigious universities in the UK to take time out to think about their own wellbeing, and what is important to them. We run events throughout the week: 'Happiness Cafe' with laughter yoga session (see below), meditation and yoga workshops, speaker events (focussing on mindfulness and the secret to happiness) and the highly successful Gratitude wall where students from across the university can come and write what and who they are grateful for. The Gratitude wall will be displayed on campus for the remainder of the year. For the speaker events, in 2015/16 we paid £50 per speaker with 2 speakers attending (such as laughter yoga instructor, and the secret to happiness), plus mic hire from Drama Soc (£20). We will make the event members only (£2) or sell tickets (£2) and expect around 30 attendees.

Comments so far:

22359 - Speakers - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down in line with previous budgeting

Topped Up: benefits more than just the members, and seems to have an impact. Club has, though, already received extra funding this year..

-----*

APPEAL 54

ICSMSU Society of Research and Academia (733)

Current Allocation 16/17:	62.6
Allocation 15/16:	0
Amount Requested:	500

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Majority rejects.

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: Club is already receiving increased Grant, and only strong arguments relate to those items already funded fully.

ES: 20592 is in line with last years budget. 20594 I agree that those volunteering should not have to pay for. 22890 we are providing £10 for as with all clubs not assuming you will get it for free. 22925 was not addressed. I suggest funding the tshirts to 50% and the rest covered by membership which would be an extra £1.50 (current fees are only £2)

AC: Due to the varying distance of AFP doctors travel, I advise they apply to ADF once they know the costs next year. Increase in last year

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 60 members @ 2

Amount Requested: 500

NEED/PRIORITY:

We need this money for the general upkeep of the club. To try to attract AFP doctors to come from far away to support our AFP event, we need to be able to subsidise their travel and parking, which is expensive at Imperial. We have a great deal of speakers each year, attending many events, not just the conferences, so the budget for gifts for speakers is actually an underestimate. We would spend roughly £5-10 on each gift. I believe the committee should not have to pay for t-shirts that they require for SORA events, and believe

we should have at least some funding for it. Last year, printing may have been free but this would have been because the booklets were printed for free by a company. We cannot assume we will receive free printing next year, and we didn't this year for the junior researchers conference. The tea urn is used for all events, and is a necessary piece of equipment. Finally, most of our money goes toward catering for conferences, which is a necessary part, but is something we cannot request subsidy for. We would very much like funding for other lines, as we need somehow to recoup the cost from the conferences. We were lucky this year in receiving a lot of sponsorship, but this fluctuates year on year, and we as a society have not always been as financially stable. We would be very grateful for some funding for our society, as unless we receive some, we may not be able to hold our events to a good standard in the future.

REACH: All the aspects of the funding directly reach our members, apart from the committee t-shirts. We don't only reach our membership, but also a great deal of the medical school who aren't yet members but we hope to make members.

MERIT:

We believe that we are promoting an integral and highly important area of education. We are helping and students to get involved in research, and be enthusiastic about science. This is a core goal of ours, and we believe it is a core goal of Imperial College - to promote the development of academic medics. We also run events which students find invaluable, such as the AFP mock interviews. Our goals are to promote education, and availability of research, and we believe we continue to do so in meaningful ways. We do however believe we need some funding for our society to expand, and continue to offer excellent events, and conferences.

Line Report

20592: 22.6

Academic Foundation Programme event: This event is supported by those Alumni who have successfully gained a place in the AFP to provide practice for final year students holding an interview offer. This event is free for attendees. The event is likely to grow as recent changes in the AFP interview process has made it a more attractive prospect to students. Costs include travel expenses for AFP doctors, reimbursement for parking for AFP doctors, and general hospitality. The cost is difficult to estimate, but has been estimated at £100 for between 5-10 AFP doctors (not all will need to claim on expenses, so £100 is a conservative estimate). This is a very well reviewed and popular event, as it will give students a competitive edge as they apply for some of the most competitive Junior doctor posts in the UK. We therefore believe this event is of the utmost importance.

Comments so far:

20592 - Speakers - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down in line with previous budgeting

20594: 0

Committee T-shirts to be worn at all events, to identify helpers at events and ensure that attendees know who to contact for questions during these event etc. Based on cost from this year, the price will be £162.50.

Comments so far:

20594 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - C

MG: committee can contribute money towards this

20594: 0

Committee T-shirts to be worn at all events, to identify helpers at events and ensure that attendees know who to contact for questions during these event etc. Based on cost from this year, the price will be £162.50.

Comments so far:

22889 - Hospitality - CSPB - C

MG: Pulled down in line with previous budgeting

Query: Funding gifts for speakers?

Subsidy too high

Res: C - move to C

22889: 0

National Conference 2016 and Junior Researchers conference- Gifts for speakers:

Gifts for speakers for the 2015 conference was £120 so this is the estimate for next year, and £42 for this year's junior researcher conference.

Comments so far:

22890 - Printing Costs - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down in line with previous budgeting

First CSPB: It is B&W, and thus fundable

22890: 0

Printing for certificates and brochures for the national conference and junior researchers conference. The costs have been estimated from budgets submitted this year. £40 for the national conference, and £80 for the junior researcher's conference. These were based on estimates from printing company websites.

Comments so far:

22890 - Printing Costs - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down in line with previous budgeting

First CSPB: It is B&W, and thus fundable

22925: 0

Hot water urn for events running through the year. We always need an urn to provide tea and coffee. Urns can be difficult to source for each event. Therefore we would like to purchase a sizeable one. Costs on Amazon.co.uk suggest that we would need to pay around £80 for around a 35L urn.

Comments so far:

22925 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - C

-----*

APPEAL 25

ICSMSU Water Polo (676)

Current Allocation 16/17: 1541.24
 Allocation 15/16: 626.5
 Amount Requested: 200

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 25 members @ 35
 Amount Requested: 200

NEED/PRIORITY:

Our goals are in total disrepair, they are necessary for the continuation of the club.

REACH: It reaches 100% of the club

MERIT:

It is an exciting sport that is hard to come by externally. With the repair of the nets we will be able to continue the club and provide an exhilarating water polo experience.

Line Report

21700: 45.2

Equipment - repair of nets and goals at St. Mary's Pool. The nets still have not been repaired since last year, we might even need new nets as the current ones are in a bad state. This is necessary as we cannot play or even train properly without proper nets.

Comments so far:

21700 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down to 1/3rd in line with previous ICU budgeting

-----*

APPEAL 14

ICSMSU Womens Hockey (666)

Current Allocation 16/17: 6402.37
 Allocation 15/16: 4187.67
 Amount Requested: 450

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 45 members @ 65
 Amount Requested: 450

NEED/PRIORITY:

We are a club in great financial difficulty and we are desperately appealing for funding for lines that are essential for running of the club, and that affect all members. We have to pay affiliation fees, not only to BUCS leagues but also to England Hockey just to be able to play the sport. Inability to afford all BUCS/LUSL fees will mean that we have to restrict some teams entry to the league - which is most likely to affect the 'lower' teams, and this is unfair. We are asking for £240 extra to increase our allocation on this line to £450 so that we can afford to allow all our teams into their respective leagues. This is a cost we cannot change at all as we have no control over affiliation fees and there is no alternative. Participating in Hockey leagues is one of our main aims as a club so we would like this extra money to ensure this is possible. Thank you for your consideration

REACH: It reaches every member as every member plays matches in BUCS or LUSL - we have no one in the club at the moment who only attends socials therefore every member benefits from affiliation to the league.

MERIT:

Playing in BUCS/LUSL is a key part of student experience, regardless of the sport and affiliating to these leagues allows many students to compete in a sport irrespective of their ability level. This is particularly true for our 3rd XI which is mostly beginners - allows members who are new to the sport a chance to compete against other universities in the same way that higher level teams do.

Line Report

21829: 211.75

BUCS affiliation (£245) is essential in order for us to field 3 teams every Wednesday. Affiliation with the Middlesex league (£157) permits us to compete as a Saturday side, and as such we are also required to affiliate with the England Hockey board (£203). In total, affiliations will cost us £605.

Comments so far:

21829 - Affiliation Fees - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down to 1/3rd in line with previous ICU budgeting

Topped Up: A very real problem that hurts the club year on year - snowballs owing to high ground hire costs.

-----*

APPEAL 5**Media IC Radio (383)**

Current Allocation 16/17: 315.89
 Allocation 15/16: 1424
 Amount Requested: 400

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Line was queried, and a full costing requested. This has still not taken place.

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 75 members @ 10
 Amount Requested: 400

NEED/PRIORITY:

Small equipment purchase and repair is down from £690 to £0. This budget line does not cover equipment for which Harlington is applicable as a funding source. The total radio budget is down from £1450 to just over £300, and currently does not even give adequate provision for us to pay for the licenses we legally require.

Given that SGI will be used to cover the license fees next year, there is no alternative funding stream, and if a crucial component breaks, radio will cease to function -- or at the very least function at significantly reduced capacity.

The license for the next academic year will be the first to allow members to broadcast shows in "podcast" format, and with this in mind we were planning on significantly increasing our field recorder range and quality (as our ancient model broke earlier this year), as well as purchase a small quantity of small high quality condensor microphones.

REACH: Equipment and repair for the main studio reaches every member of the club, since the main activity of the club is pretty homogenous.

It also affects specialist shows more heavily as our two Technics MKII 1200 turnables are due for a service in the near future.

MERIT:

Radio saved the union an incredible quantity of money given over 1000+ hours of volunteering work went into the wiring, construction, design, problem-shooting and general "creation" of the radio studio over the summer.

Members also played a large part in the similar "creation" of the new and improved capabilities of the entire new media suite. That time and effort on the part of our members, and money on the part of the union, would be entirely wasted if the equipment was not able to be properly maintained.

Line Report

21583: 0

This is radio's lifeblood and also most variable annual expenditure. Without sufficient contingency if a key piece of hardware were to break and need replacement parts or as a whole, the society would cease to function. Barring further disaster this year's allocation will be spent on a new field recorder, the lack of which stopped us from reporting from David Bowie's memorial street party, and upgrading the record equipment, given the 10000 strong singles archive has now been cataloged (this is why it has not yet been spent).

In the past purchases have included repairs and parts - headphones, headphone-cables, cable extenders, jacks, connectors, mic stands, mic clips, mic pop shields, replacement parts, turntable needles, turntable covers, shelving, record cleaning apparatus, field recorder, phono cables, microphones, turntable repairs, PA amps, flightcases, desk-repairs and upgrades, server repairs, touch-screen re calibration/repair and digital storage mediums.

As we are still recovering from the redevelopment, many costs are not immediately clear and we keep finding things that we need more of (ethernet cables, screens, KVM boxes, potentially a new server, endless shelves) so things are less certain in this respect than usual.

Comments so far:

21583 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - C

MG: Matches last year's subsidy, so unchanged.

Query: This is definitely something for ADF or Harlington. It makes me sad people don't use ADF for these sorts of things.

Res: D - discuss at meeting

Sabb: Club to bring costing based on prev years

First CSPB: individual cost, and and important. Basement does have a lot of crossover 'and there would need to be a lot of stuff to get this done. Proposal to ove to C and move to ADF.

-----*

APPEAL 8

OSC Hellenic (308)

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	166.6
Amount Requested:	120

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: No information provided

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 50 members @ 3
Amount Requested: 120

NEED/PRIORITY:

It is the annual and greatest event of the society. Its tradition attracts every year many students.

REACH: 42435.97847

MERIT:

42435.98611

Line Report

22872: 0

Dinners and gatherings for celebration of National Days (2 per year) and new year celebration. This year we had the celebration of our National Day dinner and the society paid for the wine bottles that were consumed during the night at a restaurant. Three, of these could be organised for next year. The expenses each time not exceed the amount of £80.

Comments so far:

22872 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

Query: No subsidy request - CSPBC

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: no subsidy was requested

-----*

APPEAL BA05

OSC Indian (309)

Current Allocation 16/17: #N/A

Allocation 15/16: #N/A

Amount Requested: 1000

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: CSPB did not consider these because they weren't submitted.

ES: A breakdown of costs is required

AC: No costings

Appeal Content

Club Membership: #N/A members @ #N/A

Amount Requested: 1000

NEED/PRIORITY:

NEED: funding is not available from other sources

REACH: REACH: Affects the majority of members many participate in the show, as well as the rest plus others outside the club as well as friends and parents do attend the show

MERIT:

MERIT: This is an important show that provides a first time unique experience for many students to be a part of a show, for many of whom are trying such acts like dancing for the first time. It is also a good way for the 100+ performers to get to know each other and bring the society closer in general.

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL BA06

OSC Indian (309)

Current Allocation 16/17: #N/A

Allocation 15/16: #N/A

Amount Requested: 1500

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: CSPB did not consider these because they weren't submitted.

ES:

AC: WHO IS THIS FOR???

Appeal Content

Club Membership: #N/A members @ #N/A
 Amount Requested: 1500

NEED/PRIORITY:

NEED: Funding is not available from other sources

REACH: REACH: The majority of the members who are not in the Just Bollywood team attend the event as well as numerous others from varying universities that participate.

MERIT:

MERIT: This is a newly established competition that will undoubtedly grow and become a reliable source of profit for the society. However in it's initial years it needs help to take off. This is a unique experience for students participating to take part in a large competition, as well as a great opportunity for students to watch a student run UK wide competition.

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL BA12

OSC Polish (334)

Current Allocation 16/17: 0
 Allocation 15/16: #REF!
 Amount Requested: 200

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: This did not appear in the initial budget at all, and no information provided this time.

ES:

AC: Being generous with 4. No

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 25 members @ 2
Amount Requested: 200

NEED/PRIORITY:

We need money to conduct our core activities. We have no other sources of income. Our predicted activities include social events (details below) and a second edition of a conference (the first was in November 2015). The conference was funded from tickets, but actually costs were higher than income. The conference is a great way to promote Imperial and the Union -- we had ~150 external guests and speakers like CEO of Polish State Railways. More details here: <https://www.facebook.com/EEESummit/>

REACH: The majority of our members come to our regular events. Around 20-25 to each event. This is expected to continue next year.

MERIT:

I think the above paragraphs answer this. We need Union money to continue our activities, with no other sources of income.

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 3

OSC Thai (323)

Current Allocation 16/17: 145
Allocation 15/16: 150
Amount Requested: 1000

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Does not appear in transaction lines....

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 80 members @ 10
 Amount Requested: 1000

NEED/PRIORITY:

I feel that if we receive more funding, the number of events that we will be able to provide to the members will increase vastly. This is because the main limiting factor to increase the number of events is that we have to allocate a great part of our budget to the Thai Night production process and weekly sports event.

REACH: With less subsidies to weekly sports ground hire and towards Thai night fees, we will be able to provide less subsidies to other events. These events are for all members of the club, such as attending musical shows.

MERIT:

Thai Night production process is prepared over 2 terms. This builds unforgettable memories and bonds between members of the society. The weekly sport events is a great way to build relationship between members of the society while encouraging a healthy lifestyle. The pre-departure event provides a great platform of introducing new members of the society to general life in London, and to make acquaintance with current members of the society.

Line Report

22253: 100

Thai Night. We plan to hire equipment from Jazz and Rock society for use in our live band. This is expected to cost £350. We also plan to hire DramSoc to operate the light and sound for the event. This expected to cost £2500. Additionally, there will be other small costs such as those for costumes (£100) and props (£50). For the event, we plan to sell tickets for £10 each and aim to have an audience of about 250. This should cover most of the costs but will still ask for a subsidy of £100.

Comments so far:

22253 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - A

22256: 0

Ethos court hire. We plan to hire out courts in Ethos for members to use throughout the year. This is expected to cost £80 per week (e.g. 2 badminton courts for an hour and 1 volleyball court). We plan to charge members £2 per session.

Comments so far:

22256 - Ground Hire - CSPB - C

22256: 0

Ethos court hire. We plan to hire out courts in Ethos for members to use throughout the year. This is expected to cost £80 per week (e.g. 2 badminton courts for an hour and 1 volleyball court). We plan to charge members £2 per session.

Comments so far:

22258 - Conferences - CSPB - C

22258: 0

Predeparture events in Bangkok before the start of the academic year. Costs for these events include food and beverage (£500) and venue hire (£400). Additionally, there is also the cost of hire equipment for the event, such as a projector for presentations (£50). For this, we charge members approximately £12 each. If 70 attends, this should cover all the costs. However, in case less attend, we ask for a subsidy of 200.

Comments so far:

-----*

APPEAL 13

RCC Astro (637)

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	46
Amount Requested:	100

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Have not answered to existing comments.

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	40 members @ 5
Amount Requested:	100

NEED/PRIORITY:

Funding is not available from other sources , the club's budget is insufficient for more than 20 members to attend this trip.

REACH: 80% of the members are affected as our budget is insufficient to subsidize all entrance tickets to the observatory. With the funding provided, the Astro soc would be able to take in more members on the trip.

MERIT:

Greenwich observatory trip is a major annual Astro soc's club activity as it enhances member's knowledge in the history of Astronomy and gaining more experience with star gazing.

Line Report

21431: 0

Entry costs to Greenwich Royal Observatory

Comments so far:

21431 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

MG: No explanation of how cost is arrived at. Low effort.

-----*

APPEAL 20

RCC Fellwanderers (110)

Current Allocation 16/17:	2264.4
Allocation 15/16:	2732.35
Amount Requested:	932

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Amount is wrong - but no real exploration of the reach.

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	70 members @ 10
Amount Requested:	932

NEED/PRIORITY:

Breakdown of appeal: 572 for Travel Expenditure and 360 for 4 Inns competition. Grant for travel expenditure was decreased from previous years however we have recently seen a large increase in membership, which we anticipate will extend to next year. The 4 Inns are a key annual event where many teams from the club participate, however needs funding support from the union.

REACH: Travel expenditure budget will affect all members of the club, as without sufficient funding we cannot hire minibuses and trips will have to be cancelled. 4 Inns budgeting affects the participating members, most of whom tend to be active members of the club as well.

MERIT:

Every trip is a gratifying experience for those who come along, and it is very disappointing for students if trips are cancelled due to budgeting. Because trips only take place once a fortnight it is important that all of them are able to run, and all members have at least one opportunity to come along. 4 Inns - participants look forward to this competition the whole year, and it's a great bonding opportunity for these members.

Line Report

22493: 1746.98

MINIBUS COSTS: 10 standard trips in autumn and spring term, 2 of which require 2 minibuses and 8 that require 1 minibus. 1 trip during the Easter vacation for the 4 Inns walk requiring 1 minibus. 3 trips in summer term requiring 1 minibus. One trip in the Summer holiday requiring one bus. Total of 17 minibuses required throughout the year excluding tours. Final calculation; 17×285 (hire cost for a weekend) = 4845 GBP. Each minibus drives an average of 600 miles for the weekend and the minibus fuel costs about 33p per mile. The total fuel cost for each minibus is $0.33 \times 17 \times 600 = 3366$ GBP. The total expected cost for travel next year is 7728 GBP. For the first term this year (1/3 through the Fellwanderers calendar) the club spent 2397.15 GBP in grant and 0 GBP from SGI = 2397.15 GBP. The non-subsidised fraction of cost is met by members trip contribution in the form of online ticket sales. Predicted income: On average 14 people per minibus * 14 minibuses @ trips costing 35 GBP + 14 people per minibus * 3 minibuses @ trips costing 30 GBP (trips to St Mary's mountain hut are run at a reduced cost) = 7630 GBP.

Comments so far:

22493 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

22516: 105.2

4 INNS: The club participates in a long distance challenge in the Peak District. The entrance cost this year is 28 GBP per person and accomodation is 2.50 GBP per person making a total of 30.5 GBP per person. 8 GBP is also required to transport the driver back to the start to pick up the minibus. The travel costs for this event are included within the travel budget line. This event takes part in March / April. The non-subsidised fraction of cost is met by members trip contribution in the form of online ticket sales. $15 \text{ people} \times 30.5 \text{ GBP} + 8 = 465.5$. The club charges 40 GBP per person including travel so total income is 600 GBP.

Comments so far:

22516 - Competitions - CSPB - A

22516: 105.2

4 INNS: The club participates in a long distance challenge in the Peak District. The entrance cost this year is 28 GBP per person and accomodation is 2.50 GBP per person making a total of 30.5 GBP per person. 8 GBP is also required to transport the driver back to the start to pick up the minibus. The travel costs for this event are included within the travel budget line. This event takes part in March / April. The non-subsidised fraction of cost is met by members trip contribution in the form of online ticket sales. $15 \text{ people} \times 30.5 \text{ GBP} + 8 = 465.5$. The club charges 40 GBP per person including travel so total income is 600 GBP.

Comments so far:

-----*

APPEAL 44

RCC Outdoor Club (120)

Current Allocation 16/17:	2495.37
Allocation 15/16:	3644.41
Amount Requested:	120

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Ave. Score is inaccurate. Need to see more justification and effort, as well as an argument that a "one stop shop" is the best access situation for members.

Committee Comments:

JS: Reasonable appeal, probably worth funding.

BH: Some good points made - however the arguments about needing wide equipment stock are perhaps misplaced.

ES: Their appeal request is poor in terms of description of cost but the amount they are asking for is minimal

AC: Unconvinced by appeal. Brief

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 65 members @ 20

Amount Requested: 120

NEED/PRIORITY:

The safety of our members is the most important part of our society and it is essential that we invest in high quality equipment for the health of our members. We feel that the core safety equipment we are requesting subsidy for should be increased to 40% (it has been even higher in previous years) so that we can continue to invest in high quality equipment for our members

REACH: 0.75

MERIT:

Outdoor club is the only society that provides for such a diverse range of activities, and allows members to pursue any outdoor activity they would like to pursue unlike other outdoor societies that cater for just one activity. As such we need a lot of varied equipment to cater for our members needs.

Line Report

20567: 153.68

Purchase of new equipment - 2 Ropes replacing those that need retiring, 5 harnesses that replace those that need retiring as a result of age. Purchase of maps and guidebooks. Due to the need to have maps for trips, some maps and guidebooks get damaged on trips by weather, or we go to new areas. We tend to spend £150 a year on this, as maps cost around £15 for waterproof and guidebooks £30, so purchase of 2 guidebooks, and 6 maps makes approx. £150

£130 per rope*2=£260

£45 per harness *6= £270

40% subsidy = £272 as this is core safety and key to our aims. The ropes get used for indoor

climbing by members as well as outdoor climbing on trips, so the rope use reaches around 70% of members. Likewise with harnesses they are key to safety and are used for indoor and outdoor climbing for members that are new to climbing and want to try it but have yet to purchase a harness.

Remaining income comes from SGI (£680-£272)=408

Comments so far:

20567 - Equipment & Repair - CSPB - A

MG: 40% subsidy on core equipment

-----*

APPEAL BA09

RCC Pole Dancing (165)

Current Allocation 16/17: 1949.88

Allocation 15/16: 980.04

Amount Requested: We are in need for the total amount of subsidy requested originally in order to remain competitive as a provider of pole and fitness classes in London.

We currently charge the same as UCL, but if our prices were to increase, we would be charging more and to club members who not South Kensington based, the more desirable option would be to attend our competitor's classes and thus decreasing membership and income. Furthermore, not only are competing with external Pole societies/institutions, we are also competing with other fitness classes within Imperial. The absolute majority of members who join each year are new to pole fitness, and most members join for the fitness aspects. We are competing with other dance, gymnastic and yoga classes who charge less than half the price of our current class price of £5. Some classes are even free! If we increase the class prices we would become one of the more expensive societies in Imperial and this may put off members and we may not reach our target membership.

The budget was submitted earlier on in the term. This term however, we have competed in many competitions and we won the London Pole Varsity - meaning we must host for next year. The costs incurred include venue hire, prizes - medals and trophies, snacks/food etc. When we hosted Varsity last year we tried to obtain financial sponsorship however the big company we could obtain - Ecole de Pole - actually only sponsored voucher prizes which was not sufficient as a standalone prize. Last year we had universities who also dropped out at the last minute, without paying their entry fee, so we made a loss.

The lines we are appealing for - instructors and competitions - are a key part of our club activity which cannot be neglected.

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS: Significant grant increase on last year, doesn't seem worth increasing the funding?

BH: Not taking an opinion - conflict of interest. Appeal is not as strong as it might be and subsidy already a sig. increase.

ES: They could ask their members to contribute more, and appeal quite weak in terms of details

AC: Massive increase on last year

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 65 members @ 10

Amount Requested: We are in need for the total amount of subsidy requested originally in order to remain competitive as a provider of pole and fitness classes in London. We currently charge the same as UCL, but if our prices were to increase, we would be charging more and to club members who not South Kensington based, the more desirable option would be to attend our competitor's classes and thus decreasing membership and income. Furthermore, not only are competing with external Pole societies/institutions, we are also competing with other fitness classes within Imperial. The absolute majority of members who join each year are new to pole fitness, and most members join for the fitness aspects. We are competing with other dance, gymnastic and yoga classes who charge less than half the price of our current class price of £5. Some classes are even free! If we increase the class prices we would become one of the more expensive societies in Imperial and this may put off members and we may not reach our target membership. The budget was submitted earlier on in the term. This term however, we have competed in many competitions and we won the London Pole Varsity - meaning we must host for next year. The costs incurred include venue hire, prizes - medals and trophies, snacks/food etc. When we hosted Varsity last year we tried to obtain financial sponsorship however the big company we could obtain - Ecole de Pole - actually only sponsored voucher prizes which was not sufficient as a standalone prize. Last year we had universities who also dropped out at the last minute, without paying their entry fee, so we made a loss. The lines we are appealing for - instructors and competitions - are a key part of our club activity which cannot be neglected.

NEED/PRIORITY:

Class prices would affect approximately over 95% of our students, as despite being Pole and Aerial, the reality is that most of our members are committed to pole. More expensive class prices = less members = potentially can't reach target membership = less income.

REACH: Pole and Aerial is a unique society at Imperial. In fact, the minority of universities in the UK have a society which provides pole fitness, let alone Pole AND Aerial. We are thankful that Imperial College Union are open enough to allow such a society, considering the negative press that other Pole societies receive at different universities. So, in being such a unique society across the UK, this clearly provides merit to an increased subsidy.

We provide a valuable part of student experience in being a majority female society in a male dominated university. Despite advertising ourselves as unisex society (we've never branded ourselves as female only - we are firm believers that pole and aerial fitness is for both sexes), one cannot overlook the reality that we are a female dominated society. With this comes a lot of female empowerment and camaraderie, ultimately enabling an extremely closeknit society. It would be a shame for anyone - regardless of gender- to miss out on an opportunity to make amazing friendships who share a mutual passion in the sport & fitness due to financial reasons.

MERIT:

I hope I didnt miss the deadline! Sorry and thanks for reading our appeal!

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 23

SCC Buddhist Society (217)

Current Allocation 16/17:	57.34
Allocation 15/16:	50
Amount Requested:	25

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	20 members @ 2
Amount Requested:	25

NEED/PRIORITY:

My club does not receive funding from other sources

REACH: Last year, there were around 13 students participated in the temple trip and it is projected that the number of students participating in the temple trip will increase this year due to an increased number of active members.

MERIT:

A visit to Buddhist temple on Wesak Day will provide invaluable insights for students who want to experience related festival activities of all Buddhists from all around the world. Therefore, my club wishes to encourage more students to participate in the trip with an increased subsidy.

Line Report

20310: 25

Temple visit on Wesak day (approx £250 per trip for 15 people)

-The Wesak Day is one of the most important days in Buddhism. Every year, the Buddhists worldwide get together to celebrate and commemorate the important occurrences in the life of Buddha. Therefore, visiting temple on Wesak day will provide invaluable insights for students who want to experience related festival activities of all Buddhists from all around the world. The grant allocated will be used to subsidize the reimbursement of travel expenses to the temple.

Comments so far:

20310 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - B

-----*

APPEAL 18

SCC Energy (462)

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	538.88
Amount Requested:	1000

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments: Line is irrelevant, and unfundable...

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	150 members @ 2
Amount Requested:	1000

NEED/PRIORITY:

Club has increased activity in engineering space, and has refocused main activity to engineering projects, designed to appeal to large part of the society.

REACH: Affects variable proportion of club members as members attending engineering projects varies throughout the year. Also allows the club to reach even more people by providing exciting projects that students can work on in teams

MERIT:

This line will allow the club to have enough funds to make design projects physical as we will have enough money to purchase the raw materials needed to build our designs, specifically the wind turbine design project.

Line Report

22274: 0

Engineering Projects - Pizzas for meetings

Comments so far:

22274 - Consumables - CSPB - C

MG: Cannot subsidise food

Query: Pizza is not core to their activity, and it is not eligible for CSPB-A funding. No breakdown is provided and wrongly classed as consumables

Res: C - move to C

-----*

APPEAL 45**SCC Int. Tamil (245)**

Current Allocation 16/17:	504
Allocation 15/16:	1000
Amount Requested:	1000

AWARD AMOUNT: 0**Committee Rec: 0**

Committee Comments: Action Points:

If coming to meeting, need to make it clear why ICU should bear this cost specifically.

Committee Comments:

JS: Does not actually answer any problems.

BH: Has not answered to the SGI points made, or provided the breakdown requested.

ES: They have provided detailed breakdown as well, however they have failed to say how much they raise from the event. They argue that their funding has been cut compared to last year yet is asking for double, I would recommend rewarding <50% of this (~£400)

AC: Ground hire can be covered by ticket sales or increased membership contribution?!

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 70 members @ 3
 Amount Requested: 1000

NEED/PRIORITY:

The request is being made for ground hire which is of most important priority out of all club activities. Last year, the club received £1000 for ground hire despite providing a less detailed breakdown to the union therefore we are confused about why we have been allocated £0 for the line this year.

The ground hire request is for theatre hire for our annual cultural variety show, Mega Maalai. International Tamil Society has been holding a show for the past 22 years with the name Mega Maalai coming about 13 years ago, making it the longest running Tamil Student show in the UK. The show carries a prestigious name and thus a venue to match is required. With performers and audience coming from across the UK it is vital to hire a theatre with an excellent stage and seating spec.

Based on this year's cost, the predicted cost for the venue hire is around £12,041.10. The breakdown is as follows:

- Box office: £60.00
- Theatre and rehearsal space rental: £6840.00
- PRS (music copyrights): £600.00
- Staff charges: £3439.14
- Recharges (cleaning, power etc.): £930.00
- Payroll/admin fee: £171.96

Mega Maalai is the biggest activity held by Int. Tamil and is a big reason for students joining the club. The show gives students a chance to showcase their talents, continue their hobbies or even try something new. We recently had a fresher tell us that in their interview they mentioned the show as one of the reasons they wanted to come to Imperial. This really emphasised to us the importance of the show being maintained and this will not be possible without an increased grant being provided. As the overall grant for the club has been reduced it would mean we would have to significantly reduce our other activities to avoid making any losses. The provision of an increased grant really is a necessity. We hope our further breakdown is enough for you to approve this appeal.

REACH: 0.9

MERIT:

Mega Maalai is the only Tamil student variety show that runs in the UK and thus provides an opportunity for students to showcase their talents, perform on prestigious stages and make new friends. The show provides our members with not only the wonderful performance experience but also valuable skills with regards to organising and pulling off such a well known show. Mega Maalai also helps to pull in membership to Int. Tamil and boosts the club's reach so an increased subsidy will help to ensure that we can continue to host the show as well as not lose factors such as members.

Line Report

20957: 0

MEGA MAALAI XVI:

Venue theatre hire for Mega Maalai XVI show. With the large number of attendees, and various dance forms, it is vital to find a venue with large enough seating and big enough stage. Therefore, funding this is our main priority and most of the money awarded will be put towards this. This cost also includes staff charges, PRS (music copyrights), Power, cleaning, staff (technicians, stage door, front of house) and payroll/admin fee. Income created from selling tickets and based on previous year's figures.

Comments so far:

20957 - Ground Hire - CSPB - C

MG: Core Activity

Query: Missing cost breakdown. It should be split into more than one line.

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: numbers don't balance ad can clearly be funded by sgi

-----*

APPEAL BA11**SCC Sikh (269)**

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	0
Amount Requested:	2180

AWARD AMOUNT: 0**Committee Rec: 0**

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: No updated costings provided. 2180 is clearly insane.

ES:

AC: Just no

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	30 members @ 3
Amount Requested:	2180

NEED/PRIORITY:

Reduced funding would be detrimental to success of society next year. Upon review of the submission, the inclusion of food from all events can be omitted. However, funding is still

required for events in the form of audiovisual equipment, help from BOSS (British Organisation of Sikh Societies), miscellaneous items, etc.

21432: Event will be advertised as a showcase rather than dinner

21434: There will be no langar (free food) served so purely a kirtan event (hymns + prayers)

21440: Introductory event will just be a mingle. Funding required for decoration, marketing, etc

21441: This event will no longer run

REACH: These events not exclusive so open to all. We hope that as many of our members attend each event. Reduced funding will have a detrimental impact on attracting new and existing members

MERIT:

The events we plan to hold are very unique within Imperial College and very well received by the small representation of Sikhs at university. These events offer members the chance to meet and socialise with other people of faith which can be difficult in a setting such as ours. Committee and members both enjoy spreading our messages/teachings to all at university.

Line Report

whole: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 21

SCC Writers' Society (483)

Current Allocation 16/17: 68.56

Allocation 15/16: 50

Amount Requested: 90

AWARD AMOUNT: 0

Committee Rec: 0

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 20 members @ 3

Amount Requested: 90

NEED/PRIORITY:

During the second term, the club saw an increase in members from 11 to 14. Although it may seem minute, this does show our club's potential to grow and so the initial reach might be an underestimate.

We would like to request an increase in grant for the purpose of allowing more of our members to take advantage of external writing activities at a subsidized price.

REACH: This reaches 100% of our current membership count, which we predict may be about the same next year.

MERIT:

The Writers Society seeks to enrich the writing experience for those interested in the art by allowing them easier access to such events, thus having solid financial provision in these sections is fundamental to the purpose of our club. Students will have the opportunity to explore and expand their potentials by being able to join in these events.

Line Report

22338: 45

Need: Subsidy for external workshops (e.g. in Southbank Centre) costing £15 per entry. Once per term.

Reach: For 20 members, but from this year's society we predict about half the number (10) to assume interest.

Predicted cost: $10 \times 15 \times 3 = £450$

Predicted income: (Selling tickets at £11) $10 \times 11 \times 3 = £330$

Subsidy: $450 - 330 = £120$

Comments so far:

22338 - Instructors - CSPB - B

MG: Key activity for development

LINES RECOMMENDED FOR DISCUSSION

-----*

APPEAL 33

ICSMSU Badminton (658)

Current Allocation 16/17:	3301.15
Allocation 15/16:	3782.44
Amount Requested:	261

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Need clarification on the amount. MG does not have enough information to discuss w/o club.

Committee Comments:

JS:

BH: Amount is wrong

ES: Strong Appeal and understand their frustration with being mistreated but number of members impacted is quite low. Was there an initial mistake in the way it was written, or did they not engage with the process sure Chippy would have asked them? In regards to tours they are not asking for the total cost but just to be funded to last years level which seems appropriate. They might need to assess if they can be more efficient. And dissapointed they have failed to engage before now, reason?

AC: This was brought up and discussed- I however have no recollection as to why it was moved to C. While being a tour, after receiving tours funding this year we dicussed whether going to a different location was realisitic. Speaking to those thinking of running for committee next year they felt that this was actually a budgetable cost as they would be returning to the Netherlands. We strongly encouraged them to budget for this and this is a competition so I would query it's location in CSPB-C. They have engaged well although were not high on our radar as they did well in budgeting last year (my bad).

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	80 members @ 40
Amount Requested:	261

NEED/PRIORITY:

Lines 21222, 21488, 21489: We believe that there was a mistake in transferring these lines from CSPB A to CSPB C. We are returning to the same location next year, using the same transport and the same accommodation arrangements. We also plan to complete the trip in future years, so we are unable to apply for funding through the normal tours application. This activity has not been funded through budgeting before so represents a large expansion in our club activity in the budget. This trip is also a part of our club Aims and Objectives, so is a key activity undertaken by the club. We are therefore requesting that these budget lines be considered as A lines and funded by the according standard rates.

Line 21204: The club as a whole is receiving £481 less subsidy this year (~15%), with a large proportion of the decrease coming from the shuttlecock funding. This is a key expenditure for the club because we require shuttlecocks to play our sport. This expenditure is approximately fixed, because our teams require an almost fixed quantity and quality of shuttles, so savings would have to be made on our social player shuttles. This would severely affect the quality of our social sessions, so cut backs would have to be made in other areas. We are therefore asking for £261 extra funding for this line, to match the percentage that it was funded last year.

REACH: Lines 21222, 21488, 21489: We expect 19/84 full members to attend the trip next year, however during the typical 5 years that a member is with the club they would expect to go on the trip at least once, so the reach is greater than that suggested from a single years attendance. It is also a unique opportunity and experience for our members who are able to go.

Line 21204: The funding of this line affects 100% of our members. With reduced funding the quantity of shuttles available for the team would be decreased, resulting in training with damaged and unfit shuttles - affecting their ability to train. The quality and quantity of shuttles would be substantially affected for our social players, adversely affecting the quality of their games and experience.

MERIT:

Lines 21222, 21488, 21489: The tournament that we attend is a unique student event, where members can play against international students from beginner up to international standard. During the tournament members gain a lot of valuable badminton experience with a corresponding improvement to their skills. It is therefore a key part of the experience of ICSM Badminton.

Line 21204: Playing with feather shuttlecocks is the way that any level of competitive badminton is played. So playing with them during their time with ICSM Badminton gives our members a taste of how the game is meant to be played, which they may not be able to afford without the clubs assistance.

Line Report

21222: 0

We will be running a Winter tour to an International Student Badminton tournament in the Netherlands (Enschede) where team and non-team members of the club have the

opportunity to play against foreign students. This is a unique event we organise that is open to all our club members, the tournament caters for abilities from beginner to international standard. Due to the intensive nature of the tournament with the various categories and 4 matches per day for each entrant (approximately 1hr45 play time) and self organised friendly games throughout the day, it is very beneficial to improving the general playing ability of the club. It provides an opportunity to meet like minded students from around Europe and promote ICSM.

It was popular in the club this year, with 19 members participating and we expect similar attendance next year. The tournament will run from 28th December 2016 to 1st January 2017. Due to the awkward travel dates and lack of qualified minibus drivers, this year we travelled via 2 coaches and passenger ferry (a cheaper alternative to flying or the train, including group discounts). The coach from London to Harwich port cost £750, the return ferry from Harwich to the Hook of Holland cost £46 per person, and the coach from the Hook of Holland to Enschede cost £657.04. This gave a total travel cost of £2281.04 (£750 + 19x£46 + £657.04).

We are asking for 40% subsidy of the travel cost to make the event accessible to all our members and to maximise attendance to this unique opportunity. The rest of the travel cost will be paid by the attendees, so we ask for £912.42 subsidy (40% x £2281.04)

Comments so far:

21222 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - C

Query: This sounds to be a one off tour, in which case it should be funded via a tours application rather than through annual budgeting.

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: tour

21488: 0

We will be running a Winter tour to an International Student Badminton tournament in the Netherlands (Enschede) where team and non-team members of the club have the opportunity to play against foreign students. This is a unique event we organise that is open to all our club members, the tournament caters for abilities from beginner to international standard. Due to the intensive nature of the tournament with the various categories and 4 matches per day for each entrant (approximately 1hr45 play time) and self organised friendly games throughout the day, it is very beneficial to improving the general playing ability of the club. It provides an opportunity to meet like minded students from around Europe and promote ICSM. It was popular in the club this year, with 19 members participating and we expect similar attendance next year. The tournament will run from 28th December 2016 to 1st January 2017.

The tournament entry fee is €85 per person, this covers the court hire, accommodation and all meals. This is approximately £65 at the current exchange rate.

We estimate the cost splitting to be:

Court hire - £25

Accommodation - £20

Meals - £20

We are asking for 40% subsidy for this event (excluding meals), where the remainder of the cost will be paid for by the attendees. We are asking for subsidy to make it accessible to all our members and to maximise attendance to this unique opportunity. The meals will be paid for in full by the attendees and we are not asking for subsidy for this cost.

The total court hire cost is £475 (£25 x 19 people), therefore we ask for a court hire subsidy of £190 (40%).

Comments so far:

21488 - Ground Hire - CSPB - C

Query: This sounds to be a one off tour, in which case it should be funded via a tours application rather than through annual budgeting.

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: tour

21488: 0

We will be running a Winter tour to an International Student Badminton tournament in the Netherlands (Enschede) where team and non-team members of the club have the opportunity to play against foreign students. This is a unique event we organise that is open to all our club members, the tournament caters for abilities from beginner to international standard. Due to the intensive nature of the tournament with the various categories and 4 matches per day for each entrant (approximately 1hr45 play time) and self organised friendly games throughout the day, it is very beneficial to improving the general playing ability of the club. It provides an opportunity to meet like minded students from around Europe and promote ICSM. It was popular in the club this year, with 19 members participating and we expect similar attendance next year. The tournament will run from 28th December 2016 to 1st January 2017.

The tournament entry fee is €85 per person, this covers the court hire, accommodation and all meals. This is approximately £65 at the current exchange rate.

We estimate the cost splitting to be:

Court hire - £25

Accommodation - £20

Meals - £20

We are asking for 40% subsidy for this event (excluding meals), where the remainder of the cost will be paid for by the attendees. We are asking for subsidy to make it accessible to all our members and to maximise attendance to this unique opportunity. The meals will be paid for in full by the attendees and we are not asking for subsidy for this cost.

The total court hire cost is £475 (£25 x 19 people), therefore we ask for a court hire subsidy of £190 (40%).

Comments so far:

21489 - Accommodation - CSPB - C

Query: This sounds to be a one off tour, in which case it should be funded via a tours application rather than through annual budgeting.

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: tour

21489: 0

We will be running a Winter tour to an International Student Badminton tournament in the Netherlands (Enschede) where team and non-team members of the club have the opportunity to play against foreign students. This is a unique event we organise that is open to all our club members, the tournament caters for abilities from beginner to international standard. Due to the intensive nature of the tournament with the various categories and 4 matches per day for each entrant (approximately 1hr45 play time) and self organised friendly games throughout the day, it is very beneficial to improving the general playing ability of the club. It provides an opportunity to meet like minded students from around Europe and promote ICSM. It was popular in the club this year, with 19 members participating and we expect similar attendance next year. The tournament will run from 28th December 2016 to 1st January 2017.

The tournament entry fee is €85 per person, this covers the court hire, accommodation and all meals. This is approximately £65 at the current exchange rate.

We estimate the cost splitting to be:

Court hire - £25

Accommodation - £20

Meals - £20

We are asking for 40% subsidy for this event (excluding meals), where the remainder of the cost will be paid for by the attendees. We are asking for subsidy to make it accessible to all our members and to maximise attendance to this unique opportunity. The meals will be paid for in full by the attendees and we are not asking for subsidy for this cost.

The total accommodation cost is £380 (£20 x 19 people), therefore we ask for a court hire subsidy of £152 (40%).

Comments so far:

21204 - Consumables - CSPB - A

MG: Subsidy requested left unchanged due to single use items and necessity to sport.

Topped Up: club is taking a hit - however no answer to why this should be funded any more!

21204: 0

For BUCS/LUSL matches, regulations require us to use tournament quality feather shuttlecocks. This year we have used the official BUCS shuttlecocks, the Ashaway International 500, which we get at a discounted rate. Training with the same quality shuttlecocks is vital as the speed differs with quality, and it is important that our players are accustomed to the shuttlecocks they will play their matches with. As we are required to use feather shuttlecocks, they do experience wear, and cannot be reused, therefore we require many throughout the year. We use 3 tubes a week in training and 2 tubes for each home match (as stipulated by BUCS). This means we use a total of 84 tubes a year (2 tubes x 20 home matches + 2 tubes x 22 training weeks). One tube of shuttles costs £14.99, creating a total of £1259.16 a year (£14.99 x 84 tubes).

Social sessions are central to club life, this year we have been using shuttlecocks that have cost £12.60 per tube, We require 33 tubes per year (1 tube per session). We bought better quality shuttlecocks for the social players this year and have found that they are more cost effective because they are more durable than cheaper per tube options. This means social shuttles cost £415.80 for the year (£12.60 x 33 tubes).

Therefore total expenditure on shuttlecocks for the year is £1674.96, with 1% inflation this is a total cost of £1691.71. We aim to cover 45% of this expenditure with SGI, so we ask for £930.44 subsidy.

Comments so far:

21204 - Consumables - CSPB - A

MG: Subsidy requested left unchanged due to single use items and necessity to sport.

Topped Up: club is taking a hit - however no answer to why this should be funded any more!

they want 21204 considered separately from the other three: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 27

ICSMSU Music (679)

Current Allocation 16/17:	1841.31
Allocation 15/16:	1235.2
Amount Requested:	612

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Amount is wrong. Need more costing

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	110 members @ 18
Amount Requested:	612

NEED/PRIORITY:

Requesting £427 for line 20732 and £185 for line 20736.

Line 20732:

Our top-up was previously rejected on the basis that we have been given more grant overall, however this is due to printing costs which have previously not been included in budgeting, and is ring-fenced for printing, thus we feel it should not be taken into account.

Despite the overall increase in grant, there is a decrease in the money allocated for this ground hire, despite increasing costs of hiring the venues for concerts. One of our priorities as a society is to perform music, and in order to do this we need venues in which to perform. Currently any free spaces, for example the UCH are unsuitable for us to use, due to space, acoustics and availability, thus we use local churches in order to keep costs down as these are the most suitable venues. Costs of hiring these venues are increasing, and the only way to recoup these costs would be to increase our ticket prices for concerts. However, our tickets are free for students to maximise our reach to Imperial Students, and we aim to keep our tickets as cheap as possible in order to encourage as wide an audience as possible. Therefore we wouldn't want to charge for students as this would reduce our audience and thus our reach to Imperial students.

Line 20736:

We are requesting more for transporting instruments, which is vital for concerts and gigs. Without this we would be unable to perform, thus it is essential for us. As our Bands Jam is increasing in size they are having more gigs, thus we are having to spend more on hiring vehicles to transport equipment. As above, top up was rejected due to overall increase in grant, however the actual allocation to minibus hire has decreased from last year.

REACH: This reaches everyone in the society as the concert venues are for all of our ensembles, and van hire is required by all ensembles.

MERIT:

The ability to perform music in these sorts of concerts is unique, as we are the only society that provides this within the medical school. In order to do this we need suitable venues to perform and means of transporting equipment.

Line Report

20736: 138.31

18 x minibus/van hire for instrument and equipment transport for concerts (Autumn, Spring Summer and Arts Revue) at £34 each + approximately £100 annual fuel cost. There has been a significant increase from previous years because of constantly growing interest and demand for jazz band and bands performances (e.g. East Meets West, ICSM RAG Fashion show, Imperial's got Talent). We have to transport a lot of equipment for each concert such as music stands, percussion (including large timpani), PA system, double bass, clavinova and other larger instruments and others. There is no way to transport such large amounts on public transport so we rely on minibuses/vans to allow us to transport these items so that we are able to perform. £2.40 of each members subs goes towards this, so subsidy requested = £612 - (£2.40 x 110 = £264) = £348

Comments so far:

20736 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

Topped Up: Rejecting for now, as club has already received an increase.

-----*

APPEAL 15

Media ICTV (382)

Current Allocation 16/17: 712.49
 Allocation 15/16: 878.95
 Amount Requested: 150

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Not clear why this benefits the student experience except in the abstract, hence half score in merit.
 Club committee issued

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 40 members @ 10
 Amount Requested: 150

NEED/PRIORITY:

The first reason this hasn't been spent so far is that we've bought batteries and other equipment in bulk at the end of last year. Prior to the budgeting decision we've ordered our next large batch of batteries, gaffer tape and other consumables vital for the functioning of the equipment that we already have. It would be a shame for our sound recorders (important for film making) and other portable equipment were to fall out of use due to something as simple as lack of batteries. There is also a variety of other consumable equipment that the station gets through, from gaffer tape to cabling such as our SDI runs for Varsity (which does not have a long shelf life when being transported and being used for multiple events).

REACH: The ability to use sound recorders and other consumables affects anyone who wants to run live events or film short films and sketches. Which is everyone in the society.

MERIT:

We provide coverage of elections and varsity and cover other student events. This adds to the student experience and reflects on the Union externally as well.

Line Report

21249: 0

Microphone and mouse battery set.

Comments so far:

21249 - Consumables - CSPB - C

Query: This is the same as last year; no breakdown of cost given. No money has been spent on these things this year.

Res: C - move to C

-----*

APPEAL 46

OSC Israeli (344)

Current Allocation 16/17: 40
 Allocation 15/16: #REF!
 Amount Requested: 187

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Award 17 in the first instance - club should justify why the other lines are fundable. We are funding 22072 & 23185.

Committee Comments:

JS: Not a great appeal, most of these don't seem fundable - publicity & socials.

BH: Public events could charge a small amount . No costings provided, and many of the lines are unfundable. 22072,23185 might be eligible.

ES: Reject 23170 as this is consumables. 22072 has been explained as to what it entails but no breakdown of what the costs are for, this is required. 23152 I am happy to award black and white printing to the cost of £10 it is not a core requirement but advertising.23185 request initially was for travel but in the explanation they talk about decorations I think it has been confused with line 22052. Happy to give £7 subsidy for travel. So total £17

AC:

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 20 members @ 3
 Amount Requested: 187

NEED/PRIORITY:

22072 - £100 subsidy- Party that commemorates a national and historical day for the Israeli people- Hanuka. requesting subsidy for decorations and venue hire, and typical cultural treats. This event would be for not only members of the society, but all Imperial. This is a key part of the club's activity. CSPB was unclear about the activity

23152 - £20 subsidy - as mentioned in discussion, this would be for printing colour posters, £5 per speaker, 4 speakers, also, these talks are always open to all Imperial. This is a key part of the club's activities

22052 - £7 subsidy - activity expanded, a speaker will be invited representing the film and will give a small talk/discussion to guests. Travel expenses - £20 - 30% around £7

23185 - £20 subsidy - activity added decorations and Israeli flags, since this is a

commemoration of Israel Independence day

We do not have sponsorship, and currently our member count is low. We would like to encourage people to come to our events.

REACH: The reach of the subsidy would be 100% of the members. Many of the activities are for non members, also to increase membership.

MERIT:

23170 - £40 subsidy - budget reconsideration - will provide members with a unique and invaluable wine tasting experience, both culinary educational, increasing cultural awareness. open to other cultures to increase inter-cultural bonding.

Line Report

22072: 0

Joint event with other Israeli Society of universities in London (LSE, King's, UCL ...) for a cultural Israeli or Jewish holiday occasion to promote interaction between Israeli and non-Israeli students.

Cost: Covering funds for our members and non members around 20-40 from Imperial of £4 member ticket, and £6 non-member each. MaxCost = $40 * 10 = £280$ MaxIncome = $20 * 4 + 15 * 6 = £140$

Comments so far:

22072 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

MG: Unclear about the activity

23152: 24

One the aims and objective of the society is to invite speakers on different subjects and fields of Israeli connection, such as history, cinematography, solar energy, nanotechnology, technical startups, entrepreneurs in business, Biotechnology, scientific research, etc. . We aim to host at least four speakers, two in the autumn term, and two in the spring term. This event would be open to all Students of Imperial College. In the past the attendance has been around 80 students. Availability of the speakers depends highly on their schedule during their visit to London. Therefore the main cost issue would be to provide transport costs to arrive to Imperial. Travel expense per speaker is estimated at £20.

Breakdown:

Travel : £20

Refreshments: £25

Publicity and Poster printing: £5

Admittance: Free

Room Booking - through Imperial: Free

Total expenditure per speaker: £50

total in the year: $4 * £50 = £200$

Comments so far:

23152 - Speakers - CSPB - A

Query: the drinks/snacks are not fundable

publicity is in the wrong place as it is this line not a separate one

Giving benefit of doubt on effort:

travel at £20*4=£80

30% Susbsidy = £24

Res: Y - change sub

Sabb: remove food element, benefit of doubt

23152: 24

One the aims and objective of the society is to invite speakers on different subjects and fields of Israeli connection, such as history, cinematography, solar energy, nanotechnology, technical startups, entrepreneurs in business, Biotechnology, scientific research, etc. . We aim to host at least four speakers, two in the autumn term, and two in the spring term. This event would be open to all Students of Imperial College. In the past the attendance has been around 80 students. Availability of the speakers depends highly on their schedule during their visit to London. Therefore the main cost issue would be to provide transport costs to arrive to Imperial. Travel expense per speaker is estimated at £20.

Breakdown:

Travel : £20

Refreshments: £25

Publicity and Poster printing: £5

Admittance: Free

Room Booking - through Imperial: Free

Total expenditure per speaker: £50

total in the year: 4*£50 = £200

Comments so far:

22052 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - A

22052: 6

Film Night - Israeli film screening at imperial. Open to all the society.

Cost: £12 dvd or online version, £25 refreshments. entry £1.50 a member, expected 15

Comments so far:

23185 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - B

23185: 24

Commemoration and celebration of Israel's Memorial day and National Independence day. Event consists of a ceremony and party for members. non members welcome also. We will invite a speaker and performance artist.

Costs:

£30 refreshments

£60 travel and fees for speaker/performance

income:

£3 tickets

for 20-30 people - total income is approximately£70

Comments so far:

23185 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - B

23170: 0

Artisan Chocolate and Wine tasting event:

We want to promote Israel's development and creativity in gastronomy. This will be an event for members, and with a prospect of inviting other university Israeli Societies, to encourage the opportunity to network between students.

Cost Breakdown:

Wine £10 * 20 bottles

Chocolate: £70

Venue hire: through Imperial - no cost

Total expenditure: $10*20+70 = £270$

Income:

member ticket: £6

non member ticket:£8

20 members, 10 non members

total income = $20*6 + 10*8 = £200$

Comments so far:

23170 - Hospitality - CSPB - C

-----*

APPEAL 53

OSC Sri-Lankan (321)

Current Allocation 16/17:	0
Allocation 15/16:	0
Amount Requested:	300

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Funding agreed, but level tbc. Fund either to 65 (B) or 115 (A)

Committee Comments:

JS: B-line funding @ 12% on the line that was noted as potentially being worthy of funding (22691). Definitely not on the dinner.

BH: Suggest going to B - $12% * 540 = £65$

ES: I believe that line 22691 requires funding to at least 21%, although they have not explained costs at all. They've queried two other lines but then not explained them they should be rejected

AC: Fund but not at £300 as requested as slightly undetailed appeal

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 20 members @ 4
 Amount Requested: 300

NEED/PRIORITY:

We are usually allocated funding each year, but have not been allocated any funds from the Union at all this year; a decrease across our total budget is evident.

There was a discussion as seen in the Notes section of our annual budget, where it was pointed out that "this seems legitimate developmental activity for an overseas club" and deserves funding. Thus the omission of any subsidy whatsoever may have been a 'genuine mistake of its treatment'.

This is the main Sri Lankan student sporting event in the UK bringing together societies from across numerous universities, and is the single most important event in the first term of each academic year, for our society.

REACH: As Sri Lankans having an innate passion for cricket, ALL our members attend the Warwick Inter-University Cricket Tournament, where we represent Imperial and emerged champions this year. However, the costs per person - set largely by the organisers - are immense as travel, food, accommodation and entry tickets cost over a £90 per person. We do not want this to have a negative effect on participation as we are looking forward to continue our winning streak and have full participation next year as well.

Our annual dinner is the one intra-society event that sees the participation of almost all its members, including even those who may not have been able to attend most meetings due to their busy schedules. However, due to the nature of the season, prices can be a disincentive for members to attend, mitigating a platform for those with an interest or connection to Sri Lankan from all walks of life, to interact together under one theme.

MERIT:

The Warwick tournament provides the opportunity for our members to take part in a inter-university sports tournament, without the need to have been involved competitively in said sport full-time. We believe this exposure is a crucial part of the student experience; plus this is also the main event that brings together Sri Lankan students from across the UK.

Line Report

22691: 0

University of Warwick - Sri Lankan Cricket Tournament
 £30.00 * 15 members = £450.00

Comments so far:

22691 - Accommodation - CSPB - B

Query: Why no subsidy MG?

Res: Y - change sub

Sabb: this seems legitimate developmental activity for an overseas club

22698: 0

University of Warwick - Sri Lankan Cricket Tournament

£6.00 * 15 = £90.00

Comments so far:

22698 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - C

22698: 0

University of Warwick - Sri Lankan Cricket Tournament

£6.00 * 15 = £90.00

Comments so far:

22750 - Hospitality - CSPB - C

22750: 0

Christmas Dinner

Comments so far:

22756 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

22756: 0

End of Year Dinner

Comments so far:

22756 - Cultural Activities - CSPB - C

: #N/A

#N/A

Comments so far:

#N/A

-----*

APPEAL 52

SCC Feminist (476)

Current Allocation 16/17: 12.26

Allocation 15/16: 0

Amount Requested: 27

AWARD AMOUNT: tbc

Committee Rec: 1

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Award 14 in the first instance. Need to defend Core cost if any more required.

Committee Comments:

JS: Low quality appeal.

BH: Poor appeal, but genuine mistake. Correct to B - £14

ES: Poor Appeal but they may be right unless CSPB meant to refer them to ADF

AC: Bad appeal. Don't agree with funding full amount- members can contribute but genuine error

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 25 members @ 3
Amount Requested: 27

NEED/PRIORITY:

This line was treated incorrectly by CSPB. CSPB came to the conclusion that it was category B, but then zeroed the line incorrectly. At the least this mistake needs to be corrected.

However, attending conferences is an important part of our society. Our constitutional aims and objectives include going to events that are interesting and useful to our members (within the scope of feminism). Therefore this line is clearly core and should be funded full at the category A rate.

REACH: With the line as was written, it will reach around half our membership. Of course we would like to go to more events/let more people attend, however, this would obviously increase the lines cost. If funding is reduced it would reduce the reach that we can achieve.

MERIT:

To our knowledge, attendance of feminist conference only done by FemSoc and therefore unique.

Line Report

22425: 0

Conferences: 2 per year, 6 members go to each conference, about £10 per attendee. Next year we wish to start subsidising 50% of the ticket price.

$2 * 6 * 10 = £120$ cost, £60 subsidy

Comments so far:

22425 - Conferences - CSPB - C

MG: Isn't a core activity, unclear how the conference will benefit the society?

Query: Not included in aims and objectives, so probably not a core activity, i.e. not CSPB-A

Res: B - move to B

Sabb: not core – developmental

APPEALS RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL

-----*

APPEAL 11

ICSMSU TeddyBear Hospital (737)

Current Allocation 16/17:	143.31
Allocation 15/16:	223.35
Amount Requested:	95

AWARD AMOUNT: 51.61

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	45 members @ 4
Amount Requested:	95

NEED/PRIORITY:

Travelling to schools to educate children is our primary objective as a society, so this is our most vital expenditure. We believe that we should receive more subsidy for the travel expenditure to and from the events. We are willing to fund 50% of travel costs from SGI funds. The remaining £95 which we are asking for is only half of the expected cost for travel next year.

Although it has been suggested that we ask the schools to contribute to the cost of travel, we believe this to be unreasonable as schools are non-profit organisations and so may not have disposal income to spend on us. So it seems unreliable to expect them to be a source of income for us.

REACH: This affects the entire society and all the volunteers involved. Everyone uses the equipment in teaching the children at the events.

MERIT:

Our equipment is essential for helping the volunteers teach the children about the various medical themes. The equipment helps to make the sessions fun and interactive and they act as vital teaching tools for the volunteers.

Line Report

20775: 43.39

Transport to and from events in a taxi in order to carry all of the required equipment (e.g. tent, fruits, teddy bears etc.)

1. Four schools events: Taxi between Reynolds Building (where equipment is stored) and local primary school or Brownies (maximum radius would be 3 miles from Reynolds) and return. This year the average cost has been between £11-£22. We expect to do more visits to schools and Brownie groups next year, so have budgeted for six schoolevents in total.

2. Main event: Taxi from Reynolds Building to Chelsea and Westminster Hospital where main event is held (2.2miles) - a return taxi would also be £20.

3. Two Fairs: Chelsea and Westminster Christmas Fair (2.2 miles) and Chelsea ad Westminster Summer Open day- around £20 return for each. (Please see <https://www.tfl.gov.uk/modes/taxis-and-minicabs/taxi-fares> for fares)

We've tried on previous occasions to transport equipment using public transport but it just isn't possible due to the large amount of equipment required for the stations.

Comments so far:

20775 - Travel Expenditure - CSPB - A

MG: Pulled down to 1/3rd in line with previous ICU budgeting

-----*

APPEAL 4

Media IC Radio (383)

Current Allocation 16/17:	315.89
Allocation 15/16:	1424
Amount Requested:	592

AWARD AMOUNT: 458.21

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments:

Appeal Content

Club Membership:	75 members @ 10
Amount Requested:	592

NEED/PRIORITY:

Radio's total grant is down from £1450 to just above £300.

Without the money for the two licenses, which are a LEGAL requirement, radio will not function next year -- effectively meaning the money and time spent on the studio refurbishment was completely squandered. In this sense it is the most important line in the radio budget as no other activities relating to radio can occur without full provision.

SGL will barely cover this gap between an approximate cost of £600 and the allocation of

£130. Radio will then have no funds for repairs, new equipment, members events, entries for student radio awards etc.

REACH: This reaches every member of the club.

MERIT:

Radio provides unique technical and personal skills unattainable anywhere else on campus (and arguably in the wider world for £10) which give immense benefits to members. We have a professional quality studio and allow members to be in creative control of their content.

As a society, radio also generates money for charity and designed/built/managed itself with 1000+ hours volunteered mostly by 10 or so dedicated members over one summer to ensure this facility was available to the student population at large.

Line Report

21191: 133.79

PPL License - Legal requirement for an internet radio station (roughly 205)

PRS License - Legal requirement for an internet radio station (roughly 387)

(License Fees change yearly, so using this years predicted costs as a guideline)

(Note that the previous year's allocation has not yet been spent because the licenses are not yet due for renewal)

Comments so far:

21191 - Affiliation Fees - CSPB - A

MG: Legal requirement.

-----*

APPEAL 50

SCC Feminist (476)

Current Allocation 16/17: 12.26

Allocation 15/16: 0

Amount Requested: 30

AWARD AMOUNT: 30

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments: Action Points:

Committee Comments:

JS: Printing. YAY PRINTING.

BH: This should have happened in topups. However, as printing, we could get away with it.

ES:

AC: Printing therefore fund. However incredibly poor appeal

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 25 members @ 3
Amount Requested: 30

NEED/PRIORITY:

We are told this line was not given any funding because not enough information was given (was it SAC printing). This line is intended to cover the costs of printing in SAC that was previously free.

REACH: Printing reaches a large number of people. Larger than the membership of the club.

MERIT:

Line Report

22411: 0

Printing of posters and flyers for events

Comments so far:

22411 - Printing Costs - CSPB - C

MG: Printing is now separate?

Query: Not sure this should go under CSPB-A. Also no information on whether this would have been SAC printing, college colour printing or external printing.

Res: C - move to C

Sabb: not A

-----*

APPEAL 1

SCC Quiz (475)

Current Allocation 16/17: 13.56
Allocation 15/16: 86.25
Amount Requested: 0

AWARD AMOUNT: -13.56

Committee Rec: 2

Committee Comments: Actually a decrease

Appeal Content

Club Membership: 20 members @ 2
Amount Requested: 0

NEED/PRIORITY:

The fee is £60. A budget of £13 is pointless. Unless we can get a significant fraction of the funding we will move to free membership. This year we received £86, so I don't know why it has been reduced by so much. The budgeting procedure seems to reward societies that ask for vastly more than they need in the expectation that they will get only a small fraction of it. I wrongly asked for only what we needed.

REACH: 100

MERIT:

Probably not

Line Report

22909: 13.56

Quiz League of London entry fee

Comments so far:

22909 - Competitions - CSPB - A

MG: Core Activity