



MINUTES OF THE PROCEEDINGS
of the seventh ordinary meeting of the
Clubs, Societies and Projects Board
of the Imperial College Union
in the 2013-14 Session

The meeting of the Clubs and Societies Board was held in Meeting rooms 1 and 2 in the Union Building on the 18th of March 2014 at 6pm.

Present:

ICU President	David	Goldsmith
Deputy President (Clubs & Societies)	Yasmin	Edwards
Deputy President (Finance & Services)	Kieron	Creagh
Deputy President (Education)	Natalie	Kempston
Deputy President (Welfare)	Marissa	Lewis
Arts and Entertainment Board Chair	Alex	Savell
Athletic Clubs Committee Chair	Rachel	Gregory
C&GU Vice President Finance and Societies	Lejon	Chua
CAG Chair	Sam	Page
GSU President	Andreas	Thomik
ICSM Clubs & Societies Officer	Patrick	McGowan
Media Group Treasurer	Maciej	Matuszewski
Overseas Students Committee Treasurer	Charmain	Li
RAG Treasurer	Ben	Fernando
RCSU President	Plabon	Saha
Recreational Clubs Committee Chair	Thomas	Wheeler
RSMU Vice President of Clubs and Societies	Emma	Tomms
SCC Chair	Michail	Ntinalaxis
Permanent Observers		
Student Activities Manager	Anthony	Crowther
Student Activities Coordinator	Katie	Colthurst

Apologies: Membership Services Manager Phil Power, Chew Liew ACC Chair.

Observers: Steve Tran ICSMSU President, John Golden ICSMSU Treasurer, Thomas Bradley A&E Treasurer, Owen Roberts RSMSU Treasurer, Richard Cameron RCC Treasurer, Yuri Ichinose OSC Treasurer, Stephen Ball Motor Club Chair, Guy Emanuel Motor Club, Ellis Hudson Motor Club, Tom Arscott RCC Gliding.

1.0 Chairs Business:

1.1 It is noted that the ICCU constitution has been submitted and approved.

1.2 The Budgeting rules were outlined as detailed below:

- i. Only one person to speak at a time.
- ii. Only speak once the chair has invited you to speak.
- iii. The chair may move items to the vote.
- iv. If you wish to make a point, raise your hand and the chair will note your name.
- v. Any points made must be new and brief.
- vi. If a proposal is made and seconded it will move to a vote immediately and no more points may be made until the vote has been made.
- vii. You may freely second a proposal.
- viii. No texting or use of social media during the meeting
- ix. No alcohol is permitted during the meeting. Not adhering to this will result in expulsion from the meeting for a period of time at the discretion of the chair.
- x. The Chair may call a break in the proceedings and will specify the length.
- xi. No swearing or shouting
- xii. No personal attacks.
- xiii. Once agreed by the board, these rules cannot be amended, recalled or corrected during the meeting, except by the chair.

1. First offence-verbal warning
2. Second offence- expulsion from the meeting for a period of time at the discretion of the chair.

1.3 The Board agreed to accept the rules as outlined above

1.4 It is noted that a £4000 increase in the CSPB allocation has been agreed by the Finance and Risk Committee.

2.0 Minutes of last meeting – 25/02/14

2.1 The Board resolved to accept the Minutes of the last meeting unanimously.

3.0 Matters arising:

No matters arising.

4.0 Budgeting:

4.1 Motor Club:

A paper has been submitted to CSPB outlining the historical nature of the RCS, RSM and VVMC motor clubs application for funding/budgeting and requesting £5400 to fund the clubs next academic year.

It is noted that the clubs have previously been considered separately at CSPB, due to the high financial cost involved with the activity and the unique nature of the activity.

It is noted that the motor clubs were originally informed that they would continue to be considered separately at CSPB budgeting, but this has not been the case.

It is noted that the club had a £300 increase last year and are requesting a further increase this year. It is noted that this is due to the cost of maintenance being under estimated and an increase in running costs.

The proposal in the paper is re-iterated. The proposal is to fund RCS, RSM and VVMC motor clubs collectively £5400.

Vote:

F = 5 Ag = 1 Abs = 11

The proposal passes.

4.2 Queried lines - Fund lower %:

A proposal is made to reduce every line in 'fund lower %' by 10%

It is noted that the current amount of money requested from CSPB-B is considerable.

It is noted that in this situation a blanket proposal will save time as can either be defended or accepted with regards to these lines.

It is noted that some categories (Instructors) are already felt to be low, and this will affect ACC and A&E clubs considerably.

It is noted that the RCC have a lot of safety equipment in fund lower percentage that needs to be reconsidered as safety equipment is an essential requirement.

It is noted that the RCC safety equipment will be considered as a separate batch of queries.

Vote:

F = 17 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A5- A&E Chamber Choir:

It is noted that the club have provided a full break down of sales and income. This has been split up from another line, but all finance is accounted for.

A36 and A37 –A&E Leosoc:

It is noted that the club do have a large SGI, but their instructor bills are yet to come out and this will significantly decrease the figure.

A40 -A&E Music tech:

It is noted that the management allocation for this line should be £50

A proposal is made to remove lines A36, A37 and A5 from fund lower % and to change the MG allocation for line A40 to £50.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 1 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A72 – ACC Badminton:

It is noted that the club train at Westminster and this is the best option for them in terms of training and finance.

It is noted the club have been struggling financially this year.

It has been suggested by the ACC that they introduce a product in the shop for members to contribute to training etc. This will be discussed by the club at their next committee meeting.

A79 – ACC basketball:

It is noted that the instructor's percentage is currently set very low and at the moment the club cannot afford to hire instructors with that level of funding.

A84 – ACC Boat:

It is noted that whilst the cost of this is high, this is the only budget line the ACC decided to fund for this club as there were issues with the remaining budget lines.

A91 – ACC Cheerleading:

It is noted that the ACC do not believe the request is excessive and they have only allocated a proportion of the funds requested.

A97 - ACC Cricket:

It is noted that the current ground hire % won't get them through training for this year. It is noted the club trains at Lords and therefore could use somewhere else and only use Lords occasionally.

A113 & A114 – ACC Exec:

It is noted that the money for Fresher's trials needs to be kept in a central pot, and therefore needs to be held at Exec.

A134 - ACC Handball:

It is noted that the club will be having more sessions and therefore they are planning ahead and budgeting for this.

A182- ACC Riding and Polo:

Ground hire is the clubs largest expense, the MG has awarded a significantly lower percentage than the amount requested.

A194 - ACC Rifle and Pistol:

It is noted that this cost could split it over two years, but this may not make sense. It is noted that the ACC do not feel they have funded this at an excessive level.

A101 & A102 - ACC Rugby:

It is noted that the costs are high, but they club is a very large club that is very active in terms of matches and training.

A219 – ACC Squash:

It is noted that this is a high cost, but court hire is essential to their core activity.

A250 – ACC Volleyball:

It is noted that this is a high cost, but court hire is essential to their core activity.

A proposal is made to vote on funding all lines with the exception of A72, A97, A129, A101, A102 & A134 which will be subject to further discussion.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A97 - ACC Cricket:

It is noted that if the % stays as it is currently then they will only get 25% of their request and they are going to struggle to make ends meet before further restrictions are imposed.

It is noted that Lords is much more expensive than playing at Teddington and travel costs are reasonable.

A proposal is made that the request stays as it is and is removed from the fund lower % category

Vote:

F = 6 Ag = 9 Ab = 2

The proposal falls.

A proposal is made to reduce the funding level by 5% not the 10% proposed.

Vote:

F = 5 Ag = 7 Ab = 5

The proposal falls.

It is noted that the club could apply to the development fund if necessary.

A72 –ACC Badminton:

It is noted that the club trains at Westminster. It is noted that the ACC have suggested they charge people for training/ social games etc. The club have agreed to discuss this at their committee meeting.

The ACC have looked at the difference for charging members and have adjusted the funding accordingly.

A proposal is made to remove this line from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

A101 and A102 – ACC Rugby:

A201:

It is noted that instructors costs are £12,500 but the Medics costs are £7,000. It is argued that the funding is too high for this line.

It is noted that the club have coaches at their games and they pay for that time as well.

It is argued that the Medic team and ACC team are in the same league, therefore it is odd that the costs are almost doubled.

A proposal is made to take A201 out of fund lower %

Vote:

F = 3 Ag = 5 Ab = 8

The proposal falls.

A proposal is made that the line is reduced by 5%

Vote:

F = 12 Ag = 3 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A202:

It is argued that the club should be kept to one minibus per match, as an extra bus is not required for three additional people.

A proposal is made to reduce the cost of the request by £4680 and leave it at its original % level.

Vote:

F = 10 Ag = 2 Ab = 4

The proposal passes.

A134 – ACC Handball:

It is noted that the club are only thinking about putting extra sessions on at the moment and there is no guarantee that they will actually get the space required.

It is noted that the club could consider increasing membership costs if they are providing additional sessions.

A proposal is made to reduce their costs by 25% and then fund them at the same %

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 1 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A129 & A130 - ACC Golf:

A129:

It is noted that the minutes of the previous meeting state that CSPB should consider line A129 in fund lower %

It is noted that the most financially viable option is to go back to their original arrangement.

It is noted that the club still need some funds for the revised option for it.

A proposal is made to leave line A129 in fund lower %

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

A130:

It is noted that this request is for ground hire and is currently funded at half of what the club asked for.

A proposal is made to remove line A130 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 13 Ag = 0 Ab = 3

The proposal passes.

A286 – CAG E.quinox:

It is noted that the club do have a larger SGI, but still have significant outstanding costs.

It is noted that the club need workshops at the start of term, and this is both an essential and core activity.

A proposal is made to remove line A286 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

It is noted that the CGCU are happy to take the recommendation.

ICSMSU:

A361 – ICSMSU Badminton:

It is noted that the club made a rounding error. It is noted that the cost is slightly higher as they were advised by Hannah Blandford to account for inflation.

A proposal is made to reduce the cost by £26.40 to rectify the rounding error and remove the line from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

A362 – ICSMSU Badminton:

It is noted that other clubs spend less on shuttlecocks.

It is noted that shuttlecocks are a core requirement.

A proposal is made to remove line A362 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A377, A384, A385 – ICSMSU Boat:

It is noted that this lines refer to justified and specified repairs and coaching cost.

It is noted that the previous coach had been paid at a significantly lower rate, as he is an alumni. However, the coach is leaving and the club will need to replace him.

A proposal is made to remove lines A377, A384 and A385 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A408 - ICSMSU Christian Medical Fellowship:

It is noted that 2-3 minibuses could be more expensive than a coach.

It is noted that the club have looked into this and minibuses are cheaper than coaches and trains and is also faster to travel by minibus.

A proposal is made to remove line A408 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A414 – ICSMSU Cricket:

It is noted that public transport would be cheaper.

It is noted that the club need to carry a large amount of equipment and safety gear to training.

A421 – It is noted that the query is not valid and does not relate the line it has been attributed to.

A proposal is made to remove lines A414 and A421 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A442 - ICSMSU Football:

It is noted that the ICSMSU are unsure why this line has been queried, as it is not excessive or unusual.

It is noted that this is for a significant increase in the amount of minibuses.

It is noted that lots of students who have their own cars will no longer be at Imperial next year and as such the club will need minibuses.

A452 ICSMSU Hockey:

It is noted that the club has spent less so far this year, but this is due to the increase in the amount of postponed matches in January.

A454 ICSMSU Hockey:

It is noted that there is no query attached to this line, it has just been classified, but it is not an excessive expense.

A proposal is made to remove lines A442, A452 and A454 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 13 Ag = 1 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

A463 – ICSMSU Lacrosse:

It is argued that this request is excessive and unnecessary.

It is noted that the club spent more last year and this year costs have been lower as they have had some cars and drivers. It is noted that this is not expected to happen next year and they can't rely on it.

A464 – ICSMSU Lacrosse:

It is argued that the ground hire costs have been over estimated.

It is noted that the club had no training ground until half way through season, but they have secured one for next season.

A proposal is made that lines A643, A464 and A466 stay in fund lower %:

Vote:

F = 5 Ag = 2 Ab = 8

The proposal passes.

ICSMSU A485 Music:

It is argued that there is no need to tune a piano in Blackett, when there are other locations and practice spaces/pianos to use.

It is noted that ICSMSU Music should be put in contact with the Blyth Centre.

A proposal is made to move line A485 to CSPB-C

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A496 – ICSMSU Netball:

It is noted that the club were advised to include the LUSL ground hire request in budgeting for next year.

It is noted that the club will need to pay for the use of Ethos.

A497 – ICSMSU Netball:

It is argued that an inflated figure is being used.

It is noted in the justification that they now have a 6th team. If the club don't have funding then their new/increased membership can't play.

A proposal is made to remove line A497 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 15 Ag =1 Ab = 0

A498 – ICSMSU Netball:

It is noted that the instructor's costs are felt to be unnecessarily high.

It is noted that the instructor manages 50-60 people and it is unlikely that a more basic coach would be able to manage this.

A proposal is made to remove line A498 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 6 Ag =3 Ab = 7

The proposal passes.

A501 and A502 – ICSMSU Rugby:

It is noted that there does not appear to be a justification for the management group increase.

It is noted that the club made a mathematical error and the management group changed the allocation to correct this.

It is noted that the club are not using more than one bus per trip.

A proposal is made to remove lines A501 and A502 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 9 Ag = 1 Ab = 6

The proposal passes.

A506 – ICSMSU Rugby:

It is noted that ACC Rugby pays less for rugby balls.

It is noted that ACC rugby will be asked to share their supplier details with ICSMSU Rugby.

A proposal is made to reduce the cost to £499.63 and keep the same percentage funding level.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

A509 and A510 ICSMSU Squash:

It is noted that there is a mistake with this budget line and the total expense should be £6689, not £1000

It is agreed that the two lines should be changed to reflect the correct costs.

A521-A24 – ICSMSU Waterpolo:

It is noted that the lines have been queried, as Ethos pool hire is cheaper.

It is noted that Ethos pool is not always available.

It is noted that ICSM Waterpolo members pay less subs than ACC Swim and Waterpolo members. However, Swim and Waterpolo have more pool time.

A proposal is made to remove lines A521-A524 and A526 from fund lower %:

Vote:

F = 6 Ag = 1 Ab = 9

A535 - ICSMSU Hockey:

It is noted that the hockey club spent £900 on referees this year and as such the increase seems excessive.

It is noted that there are external claims still to be made and if the cost this year is expected to equal the request they have made for next year.

A proposal is made to remove line A535 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

A542 and A543:

It is noted that IC Rag Exec believes that the Union paid for the ticket for the RAG Chair at last year's RAG conference. As such, RAG would like to know if this will happen again.

It is noted that the Union do not believe that the RAG Chair's conference ticket was funded by the Union and therefore it will not be this year either.

A558 - OSC Arabic:

It is noted that the club made a mistake in their application, their income will be lower than stated, as such the OSC feel they should still be funded at £40.

A proposal is made to remove line A558 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

A570 - OSC Cypriot:

It is noted that this line is queried as fund lower, as the proposer feel the subsidy should be lower. However, it is noted that the subsidy has already been lowered.

A proposal is made to lower the subsidy to the proposed £21, and remove line A570 from fund lower %

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

A693 – RCC Fellwanderers:

It is noted that RCC Fellwanderers minibus costs are their main cost and essential for their core activity.

It is noted that the members pay their own accommodation and food costs.

A699 – ACC Floorball:

It is noted that whilst Ethos is cheaper the club have been unable to gain the required training time at Ethos, so need to use an external venue.

A762 RCC Mountaineering A772 RCC Outdoor:

It is noted that both requests are for safety equipment, and the RCC will be requesting to increase the percentage awarded to these lines and remove them from fund lower %.

It is noted that the equipment is not fundable from Harlington, as it is required yearly to replenish stocks.

A proposal is made to remove lines A693, A699, A762 and A772 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 8 Ag = 0 Ab = 8

The proposal passes.

A942 - RSM Hockey:

It is noted that the club are currently asking for up to £200 less per team than others in their league and the members contribute significantly.

A proposal is made to remove line A942 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A972 - SCC Christian Union:

It is noted that the club are unable to complete the performance in Reed or Pippard.

It is noted that the society has approximately £8000 expenditure per year and the Management Group have already lowered their % requests substantially.

A proposal is made to remove line A972 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

A983 – SCC Enactus:

It is noted that this line refers to transport for their activity training weekend.

It is noted that the training is centred on the volunteering activities the club undertakes and there are not many other opportunities to attain training.

A proposal is made to remove line A983 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 1 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A1023 SCC Quiz:

It is noted that the members are contributing to the costs and will pay the majority of the costs.

A1026 SCC SciFi:

It is noted that the club do not have a particularly high SGI and that they need to replace and update content routinely.

A1030 SCC UNICEF:

It is noted that the management group have already funded the club less than requested and to cut it further may mean that the event cannot happen.

A proposal is made to remove lines A1023, A1026 and A1030 from fund lower %.

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

1016 SCC Model United Nations:

It is noted that there is an error with this line and they cost should be reduced to £280.

A proposal is made to reduce the cost to £280

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

1002 SCC Int. Tamil:

It is noted that this event made a loss in recent years and consequently should not be funded. It is noted that there is no justification for the high cost of Ground Hire.

It is agreed that line A1002 will be moved to CSPB-C.

A496 - ICSMSU:

It is noted that the ICSMSU were advised to apply for the cost of BUCS ground hire as if they were not sharing the cost with ACC.

It is noted that the ACC has already applied for the cost.

It is noted that this is the only notable cost that both medics and ACC have applied for.

It is noted that the ACC have been awarded this cost. The cost will come out of the same pot for both ICSMSU and ACC, regardless of where that pot is held.

It is noted that the ACC have been awarded the cost, but haven't been given everything and as such have put in a top up request for the additional funding.

A proposal is made to remove the cost that has been put in twice with the agreement that ACC will handle the bill with the money awarded from CSPB for this cost.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

Top Up Requests:

RCC Gliding:

It is noted that at the last CSPB budgeting a recommendation was made to increase the membership and membership target.

It is noted that the club feel they need a fee that suits all members as about 40 people come on less than 3 trips a year, and a higher fee would discourage people who might want to try gliding.

It is noted that members already contribute a large amount to glide already, as it is an expensive activity.

It is noted that the club could increase their membership level to Increase membership level to 65/70.

It is noted that clubs should set their membership target as something to achieve, and this means a certain amount of people have to be involved for the club to benefit financially.

A proposal is made to increase the RCC Gliding membership target to 65 and keep the fees at the same level.

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

%top up requests:

For CSPBA:

A298, A299, A303 - CAG Soup Run:

It is noted that if the club doesn't receive full subsidy for these lines they will be unable continue.

A proposal is made to increase lines A298, A299, A303 to 100% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 12 Ag = 0 Ab = 4

The proposal passes.

A303 – CAG TEAM Students:

It is noted that the top up request would bring them in line with previous budgets.

The club tutor underprivileged students across London and need the financial support to continue to do this.

A proposal is made to fund line A303 at 100% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 0 Ab = 1

The proposal passes.

A291 and A292 and A293 CAG El Salvador:

It is noted that the club would like line A291 and A292 to be increased to 50% and line A 293 to be increased to 33%.

It is noted that these costs are essential for Instructors, ground hire and consumables.

A proposal is made to move line A291 to CSPB-C and the club could apply to the development fund for the required amount.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

A proposal is made to increase line A292 and A293 to 50% and 33% respectively.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A286 - CAG Equinox:

A proposal is made to increase to 70% subsidy in line with other charitable projects and their previous budgets.

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

ACC:

A164 – ACC Lawn tennis:

It is noted that the club made a mistake in their budget which makes it look as if they are getting free courts, but they are not receiving this.

It is noted that the club are requesting £1,014 subsidy of a total cost of £2600. Assuming that ground hire stays at 35% this would be £910. Without this, the courts will be extremely expensive for social players.

A proposal is made to increase the subsidy to £1014.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A225 and A226 – ACC Swim and Waterpolo:

It is noted that the club's main costs are pool hire and instructors and the current allocation simply won't be enough money for them.

It is noted that the club are going to have to cancel all matches apart from BUCS ones due to the cost of hire and instructors.

A proposal is made to increase the subsidy for instructors and pool hire to 40%.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

The ACC propose to consider all lines with an increased ground hire request together as outlined below.

A72, A67, A115, A188, A189, A217 A218, A244, A225, A250, A251, A252 and A277:

It is noted that there is little justification for a increase, clubs just seem to want more.

It is noted that the clubs do really require an increase and the clubs are spending the money they are allocated.

A proposal is made to increase all to 40% subsidy.

Vote:

F =5 Ag = 5 Ab = 6

The Chair has the casting vote.

The proposal falls.

A proposal is made to increase the subsidy to 37%.

Vote:

F = 6 Ag = 5 Ab = 5

The proposal passes.

A118 - ACC Fencing:

A proposal is made to move the category for this line and fund it at 66%.

It is argued that the category change is being used to gain more finance, not for a justifiable reason.

The proposal is withdrawn following the discussion.

A276, A276, A277, A279, A280 and A282 – ACC Yacht:

It is noted that the MG reduced their requests a lot

It is argued that this is not top up of CSPB-A, but a MG top up and consequently should not be considered at this meeting.

It is noted that the ACC were unaware that they could go back to their clubs and request further information.

It is noted that the ICSM did not realise that they could encourage clubs to seek top up percentages.

A proposal is made to fund ACC Yacht at the proposed higher % subsidy.

Vote:

F = 2 Ag =8 Ab = 6

The proposal falls.

A103 ACC Cycling:

It is noted that the MG originally funded them at a low % and were unable to go back to the club after the budget was submitted. The ACC would like to increase allocation to 40% of their total costs.

A proposal is made to change the initial subsidy for line A103 to 40%.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

A188 and A189 – ACC Rifle and Pistol:

It is noted that due to an error the club were allocated £0 by the MG.

A proposal is made to combine the lines and this will correct the initial MG group allocation to £1607.40

Vote:

F = 14 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

A205 –ACC Rugby League:

It is noted that this top up is requested for the cost of instructors which is the only budget request the club has made.

It is argued that there is not a good justification for an increase over other clubs.

A proposal is made to increase the subsidy for line A205 to 45%

Vote:

F = 13 Ag = 0 Ab = 3

The proposal passes.

A60 and A61 – American Football:

It is noted that the MG group made a mistake and cut this as they didn't think the request was relevant.

The ACC would like to revert to the initial subsidy levels for these lines.

It is argued that gaining access to the playoffs is not guaranteed and the club should apply to the development fund.

The ACC are happy to accept the recommendation.

A253 – AC Volleyball:

It is noted that the ACC are requesting a top up to increase the MG allocation to the subsidy requested.

It is argued that A253 is the same issue as A276 to A282 and therefore should be submitted as an appeal.

The ACC will re-submit this as an appeal.

A68 A100 and A82 – Competitions:

It is noted that there is no great justification for an increase in this situation.

It is noted that ACC Boat made a poor budget application.

It is suggested that the club submit an appeal.

A117 - ACC Fencing:

It is noted that whilst competitions are expensive, clubs can't expect to enter all the competitions they want to.

It is noted that the club haven't included any sport imperial funding in their application.

A proposal is made to increase line A117 to 50%.

Vote:

F = 2 Ag = 4 Ab = 10

The proposal falls.

A102 – ACC Cycling:

The club are requesting an increase to 40%, they already ask members to contribute a lot and need to subsidise something.

It is noted that the club also haven't included fuel costs, and this will be an additional expense.

A proposal is made to increase the % subsidy to 40%.

Vote:

F = 9 Ag = 0 Ab = 7

The proposal passes.

Media:

A544 A545 - Felix:

It is noted that all printing costs, advertisement and income have been moved to central services within the Union.

However, not all costs were relocated and Felix has no SGI and has to pay for additional running/printing costs.

I Science and Phoenix need funding, each publication will cost £1000 to print.

A proposal is made to fund I Science (A545) at 100% subsidy and fund Phoenix (A544) at 50% which is comparable.

It is noted that the line is categorised under consumables and it should be in newspapers and magazines.

A proposal is made to change the category to newspapers and magazines.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

As the % subsidy for Phoenix has now changed Media are happy to accept the Phoenix allocation.

A proposal is made to increase the % subsidy for I Science to 100%.

It is noted that I Science could look into advertising and raise the money from this.

A proposal is made to leave line A545 in magazines/newspapers at 49% and any other money can be sought from the development fund.

Media are happy to accept the proposal.

It is noted that despite I Science being an ongoing activity CSPB should not hold this against them when they apply to the Development fund.

CGCU Motor:

It is noted that CGCU Motor made a mistake and accidentally put their travel core costs in CSPB –B not A.

A proposal is made to leave the request in CSPB- B.

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

RCC:

A727 and A730 – RCC Ice Hockey:

It is noted that the club have been steadily increasing in size and doing very well in the league.

It is noted that the club have requested an increase in subsidy for ground hire to 42% as this is the only option for the club to make their sessions affordable for their members.

It is noted that the other line refers to an issue with their transport line, as it was split incorrectly.

A proposal is made to increase both lines to 42% subsidy.

A proposal is made to increase A727 ground hire to 37%.

Vote:

F = 11 Ag = 0 Ab = 4

The proposal passes.

A812 – RCC Snooker and Pool:

It is noted that the club have one less practice room after the re-development.

It is noted that the club has incurred additional costs and now needs to re-cloth their tables and is asking for a top-up to 50% subsidy for this.

A proposal is made to fund line A812 at 50% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 13 Ag = 0 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

A833 & A834 – RCC Synchronised Swimming:

It is noted that the club has had pool hire issues and has had to go to St Marys.

It is noted that without an increased subsidy their training will not be affordable.

It is noted that the RCC are happy to request a 37% increase for ground hire, in line with other clubs.

A proposal is made to increase line A833 and A834 to 37%

Vote:

F = 13 Ag =1 Ab =2

The proposal passes.

A873 - RCC Wakeboarding:

It is noted that the club are requesting an increase to 66%, as they only ended about receiving 50% of the 50% subsidy they requested.

It is noted that CSPB decreased line A873 to £500 from £1000.

A proposal is made to fund line A873 at 66%, as the standard affiliation of the £500.

Vote:

F =16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

RCC - Safety Equipment:

A658, A670, A747, A752, A762, A772, A781, A843, A844, A855:

It is noted that the lines listed are all equipment requests for replenishing safety equipment, which is necessary for health and safety reasons.

It is noted that the RCC has subsidised safety equipment at 66% previously, and currently it will only receive around 30%.

It is agreed that lines A747 and A752 will be dropped from the proposal, as the club haven't hit their membership target.

A proposal is made to fund the lines at 66%.

The proposal falls.

A proposal is made to fund the listed requests at 50%.

Vote:

F = 7 Ag =4 Ab = 5

The proposal passes.

A710, A712, A713 - RCC Gliding:

It is noted that the club are requesting an increase for equipment and want an increase to 45% for ground hire for storage purposes as storage must be at the air field.

It is argued that A710 should only be increased to 37% in line with other top-up ground hire requests.

It is argued that CSPB could fund 4 clubs from the money given to RCC Gliding already.

A proposal is mad to fund all three lines at 50%.

The proposal falls.

A proposal is made to leave the lines as they are currently and advise the club to appeal if they wish.

Vote:

F = 15 Ag = 1 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

A &E Top-up requests:

Instructors:

A4, A10, A15, A26, A36, A51, A55, A57:

The clubs would all like an increase to 50% subsidy for instructors. It is noted that it is not possible to charge people for rehearsals, but instructors must be paid for this time.

It is argued that A26 should be removed from the proposal as A&E already gave them a lower allocation.

A26 is removed from the proposal.

A proposal is made to increase lines A4, A10, A15, A36, A51, A55, A57 to 50% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 7 Ag = 3 Ab = 6

The proposal passes.

Copyright Materials:

A11, A12, A27, A52, A54, A58:

It is noted that clubs have been pro-active in sourcing material that is out of copyright restrictions, but this is not possible in all cases.

It is noted that rights can be very expensive.

It is noted that A&E Choir have a larger SGI ad they hold deposits for music until the end of the year, so their SGI looks higher than it is.

A proposal is made to increase lines A11, A12, A27, A52, A54, A58 to 50% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 7 Ag =5 Ab = 4

The proposal passes.

Consumables:

A14, A37, A28:

It is noted that the requests are all for equipment used during art sessions. It is noted that club are requesting relatively little in comparison to others.

It is argued that A14 and A37 are small requests that should be funded to the amount originally requested.

A proposal is made to fund the lines to the amount requested in subsidy at the final mg level.

Vote:

F = 6 Ag = 8 Ab = 2

The proposal falls.

It is argued that the amount allocated is comparable to other clubs in consumables so it should be left as it is.

The A&E will speak to the club and advise them to appeal if necessary.

A28 – A&E Big Band:

It is noted that this is a one-time expense and doesn't happen every year.

It is noted that the club are asking for £60 more, but the funding is the difference between replacing equipment and not.

A proposal is made to increase A28 to 50% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 10 Ag = 0 Ab = 6

The proposal passes.

RCSU:

A897 – Biosoc:

It is noted that the Biosoc Debate is the only Biosoc line in CSPB-A. It is noted that the club cannot charge for the event and it must be open access.

It is noted that the club will only receive £55 at the moment and the event can't go ahead with this level of funding.

A proposal is made to fund line A897 at 100% subsidy.

Vote:

F = 7 Ag = 3 Ab = 6.

The proposal passes.

Additional Funding:

It is noted that there are a three potential options for moving forward with additional funding:

- 1) Decisions can be made at this point in the meeting with regards to CSPB-B and % subsidies.
- 2) CSPB can agree to form a sub-committee to manage additional funding.
- 3) CSPB can agree not to fund any lines currently in CSPB-B.

It is noted that it could be difficult not to fund anything in CSPB-B, as clubs made request on the understanding that there would be funding for CSPB-B.

It is noted that clubs currently in the New Club incubator cannot receive funding (Comedy) and therefore their lines should be removed from CSPB-B.

It is noted that CSPB have so far been making decisions based on the fact that there will be some funding in CSPBP-B.

It is noted that maybe an exception could be made for Comedy Club, and they could be funded from the development fund next term.

It is noted that the development fund is not available for use this year.

It is noted that clubs can appeal items in CSPB-A and CSPB-B.

It is noted that there is still approx. £16,000 available to allocate.

A proposal is made to leave all funding that has not been allocated in CSPB-A until after appeals and if the money is not used then add it into CSPB A %funding levels or move it into the development fund.

Vote:

F = 13 Ag = 1 Ab = 2.

The proposal passes.

It is argued that CSPB should set the percentage levels now so clubs are aware of what level they have received.

It is argued that it won't make a difference to the clubs to know the levels now and provisional percentages are unlikely to change a large amount.

It is argued that the point of the new system is to build up, not decrease funding and therefore to cut clubs later would be counter-productive.

A proposal is made to set minimum funding levels of already allocated individual budget lines at 90%.

Vote:

F = 16 Ag = 0 Ab = 0

The proposal passes.

It is noted that clubs will be unable to appeal after the appeals deadline and that repeat appeals will not be accepted after the appeals process has closed.

It is noted that all members of CSPB and the DPCS and DPFS will ensure that lines of communication are valid and every person is receiving the same e mails/information.

It is noted that the DPFS and DPCS will provide information on today's outcomes to the Management Groups who will distribute this information to their clubs.

A proposal is made to send each club their own budget allocation, not the budgets of all clubs.

Vote:

F = 13 Ag =1 Ab = 2

The proposal passes.

It is noted that any club that wishes to see all the budget lines may do so. However, to send the complete budget spread sheet to all clubs could prove confusing and is unnecessary.

Meeting end time: 23:35.